arXiv:0910.5038v1 [math.DS] 27 Oct 2009

LOCAL RIGIDITY OF PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC ACTIONS

ZHENQI WANG

Abstract. We consider partially hyperbolic abelian algebraic high-rank actions on compact homogeneous spaces obtained from simple indefinite orthogonal and unitary groups. In the first part of the paper, we show local differentiable rigidity for such actions. The conclusions are based on progress towards computations of the Schur multipliers of these non-split groups, which is the main aim of the second part.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Setting and results 4
2.1. Generic restrictions of split Cartan actions 4
2.2. Cocycles and rigidity 6
2.3. Formulation of results 7
2.4. Comments on related problems 8
3. Cocycle rigidity for the actions $\alpha_{0,G}$ 10
3.1. Preliminaries 10
3.2. Paths and cycles for a collection of foliations 11
3.3. Split Cartan actions on $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ and $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$ 13
3.4. Generating relations and Steinberg symbols 17
3.5. Proof of Theorem 3 21
4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2 22
4.1. Proof of Corollary 2.1 24
5. Schur multipliers of non-split groups 25
5.1. Preliminaries and notations from K-theory 25
5.2. Construction of universal central extension 26
6. Generating relations of $SO^+(m,n)$ 27
6.1. Basic settings for $SO^+(m,n)$ 27
6.2. “Chains” in $SO^+(m,n)$ 28
6.3. Basic relations 29
6.4. Structure of $\tilde{H}$ 31
6.5. Construction of a S^1-symbol 33

6.6. Structure of $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}$ 35
6.7. Proof of Proposition 6.1 38
6.8. Proof of Theorem 7 42
6.9. Proof of Theorem 4 42
7. Generating relations of $SU(m, n)$ 44
7.1. Basic settings for $SU(m, n)$ 44
7.2. "Chain" in $SU(m, n)$ and basic relations 45
7.3. Structure of $\ker(\pi_1)$ 50
7.4. Construction of $S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$-symbols 53
7.5. Structure of H^i 55
7.6. Proof of Lemma 9 61
7.7. Structure of $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}$ 61
7.8. Proof of Theorem 10 65
7.9. Proof of Theorem 5 66
References 66

1. Introduction

In this paper we extend results of D.Damjanovic and A.Katok about rigidity of certain diagonal actions on compact homogeneous spaces [2, 3, 5] from split to some non-split Lie groups.

A. Katok and R.Spatzier considered the differentiable rigidity of Weyl chamber flows on symmetric spaces: let G be a connected semisimple Lie group of real rank ≥ 2, Γ a cocompact torsion-free lattice in G; let A be a maximal split Cartan subgroup of G, and let K be the compact part of the centralizer of A which intersects with A trivially. If G is of $\mathbb{R}$-rank greater than one, the action of A on the space $K\backslash G/\Gamma$ is an Anosov (normally hyperbolic) action, and it is locally differentiably rigid [15]. The method of proof can be called *a priori* regularity since it is based on showing smoothness of the Hirsch-Pugh-Shub orbit equivalence [10]. Another ingredient in the Katok-Spatzier method is cocycle rigidity used to "straighten out" a time change; it is proved by a harmonic analysis method.

In [2, 3], a special case $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})(n \geq 3)$ is considered. In this case, rather than the full A action by left translations on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$, they considered the restrictions of the full diagonal action to subgroups in the acting group $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ that contain lattices in 2-planes in general position. Those actions are partially hyperbolic rather than Anosov and *a priori* regularity methods is not applicable. The proof of cocycle rigidity and differentiable rigidity in [2, 3] is "geometric", in contrast with earlier proofs in [15]. The totally new manner is based on geometry and combinatorics of invariant foliations and using insights from algebraic K-theory as an essential tool.

The approach of [2, 3] was further employed in [5], for extending cocycle rigidity and differentiable rigidity from $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ to compact homogeneous spaces obtained from some simple split Lie groups of nonsymplectic type.

The purpose of this paper is to further extend the results of the above-mentioned authors to higher rank partially hyperbolic actions on compact homogeneous spaces obtained from indefinite orthognal and unitary groups. In the present work we derive information about generators and relations in these non-split groups which are not readily available from the literature. As soon as this algebraic information is obtained, the geometric scheme developed by Katok and Damjanovic essentially applies to non-split cases. However, there are still at some places significant technical differences which require some new arguments to handle them.

In Section 3, based on the conclusions about Schur multipliers proved in Section 5-7, we apply the approach of [2] to prove trivialization of small, non-abelian, group valued cocycles over partially hyperbolic abelian algebraic acrion described in Section 2.2. The key points are: (i) local transitivity of Lyapunov foliations, (ii) the fact that some Lyapunov cycle can be approximated by a composition of conjugates to stable cycles, and (iii) vanishing of periodic cycle functionals on broken paths along leaves of stable and unstable foliations generated by multiple-dimension root spaces. Once the cocycle rigidity is obtained in Section 3 via geometric method, proving that cocycle rigidity is robust under C^2-small perturbations is similar to the case of $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, which is treated in [3] (See Section 4).

In Section 5-7, we make sufficient progress towards the computations of the Schur multipliers of $SO^+(m, n)$ and $SU(m, n)$ where $m \geq n \geq 3$ to obtain information needed for the proofs in Section 3. This work is extension of the ideas of R. Steinberg [23] and V. Deodhar [6]. Steinberg considered the so-called Schur multipliers (cf. Steinberg [[24], Section 7]) of rational points of simply connected Chevalley groups and obtained results of importance, especially in the case of split semisimple algebraic groups. Deodhar extended Steinberg's theory to a more general class of quasi-split groups. In the present work, our main aim is to obtain similar results for some non-split groups that are not quasi-split. Deodhar's construction carries carry over to the non-split groups with minor changes. However, it does not provide sufficient information and needs to be supplemented by some new method.

Let k denote an arbitrary local field, and G denote a connected, simply connected algebraic group which is defined and absolutely almost simple over k. In Section 5 we use Deodhar's results freely in the general case: We construct explicitly, in terms of generators and relations, a "universal central extension" for G_k^+ generated by k-rational unipotent elements which belong to the radical of a parabolic subgroup defined over k in G.

In Section 6 and 7, our main aim is to make some progress towards the computation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(G_k)$ (= Schur multiplier) of G_k when $G_{\mathbb{R}} = SO^+(m,n)(m \geq n \geq 3)$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(m,n)(m \geq n \geq 3)$. For this purpose, we introduce a way to tackle the "rotation" and "reflection" in root spaces with dimensions greater than 1.

For definitions and general background on partially hyperbolic dynamical systems see [22]; all necessary background on algebraic actions can be found in [14]. We will also strongly rely on definitions, constructions and results from the earlier papers on the subject [2, 3, 5]. In the present paper we consider algebraic actions of $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell, k + \ell \geq 2$. We treat generic restrictions of full split Cartan actions on $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ and on $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$(where Γ is a cocompact lattice).

I'd like to thank Nigel Higson for pointing out to some relevant sources and results in algebraic K-theory and many stimulating discussions. I am grateful to Grigory Margulis and Yuri Zarhin who kindly helped me in algebraic area. My sincere thanks are also due to my advisor Anatole Katok, who suggested the problem to me. He also looked through several preliminary versions of this paper, suggested some changes, made important comments and encouraged me a lot.

2. Setting and results

2.1. **Generic restrictions of split Cartan actions.** Let Q be a nondegenerate standard bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ of signature (n,m). The group $SO^+(m,n)$ is then the connected Lie group of $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ matrices that preserve Q with determinant 1. Then we can choose a base of $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ in terms of which the quadratic form Q is given by $Q(e_i, e_{i+n}) = 1$, if $1 \leq i \leq n$; $Q(e_j, e_j) = 1$, if $2n+1 \leq j \leq m+n$ and $Q(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise.

Using this base, the Lie algebra $so(m,n)$ of $SO^+(m,n)$ can be represented as $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} A_1 & A_2 & B_1 \\ A_3 & A_4 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & C_2 & D \end{array}\right),$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 are $n\times n$ matrices, B_1, B_2 are $n\times(m-n)$ matrices, C_1, C_2 are $(m-n)\times n$ matrices and D is a $(m-n)\times(m-n)$ matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -A_4^\tau, & A_2^\tau &= -A_2, & A_3^\tau &= -A_3,\\ D^\tau &= -D, & B_1 &= -C_2^\tau, & B_2 &= -C_1^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here M^τ denotes the transpose of the matrix M.

Let H be a non-degenerate standard Hermitian form of signature (n,m). Then we can choose a base of $\mathbb{C}^{m+n}$(under a linear transformation with real coefficients) in terms of which the quadratic form H is given by $H(e_i, e_{i+n}) = 1$, $1\le i\le n$, $H(e_j, e_j) = 1$, $2n+1\le j\le m+n$ and $H(e_i, e_j) = 0$, otherwise 0. The group $SU(m,n)$ is then the connected Lie group of $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ matrices that preserve H with determinant 1.

Using this base the Lie algebra $su(m,n)$ of $SU(m,n)$ can be expressed as $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} A_1 & A_2 & B_1\\ A_3 & A_4 & B_2\\ \hline C_1 & C_2 & D \end{array}\right),$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 are $n\times n$ matrices, B_1, B_2 are $n\times(m-n)$ matrices, C_1, C_2 are $(m-n)\times n$ matrices and D is a $(m-n)\times(m-n)$ matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -\overline{A_4}^\tau, & \overline{A_2}^\tau &= -A_2, & \overline{A_3}^\tau &= -A_3,\\ \overline{D}^\tau &= -D, & B_1 &= -\overline{C_2}^\tau, & B_2 &= -\overline{C_1}^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\overline{M}^\tau$ denotes the complex conjugate transpose of the matrix M.

Let $X := SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$, (corr. $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$) with $m\ge n\ge 3$ and Γ a cocompact lattice in X. Let

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ = \exp\mathbb{D}_+ =&\{\operatorname{diag}\big(\exp t_1, \exp t_2, \dots, \exp t_n, \exp(-t_1), \exp(-t_2), \dots,\\ &\exp(-t_n), 1, \dots, 1\big)\colon (t_1, \dots, t_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n\} \end{aligned}$$

be the group of diagonal matrices with lower $(m-n)\times(m-n)$ matrix identity. In fact, D_+ is the maximal split Cartan subgroup both in $SO^+(m,n)$ and $SU(m,n)$.

We denote the action of D^+ on X by left translations by α_0 and call it *the split Cartan action*.

For $1\le i\ne j\le n$ the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{D}_+$ defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_{i-j} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n)\in\mathbb{D}_+ : t_i = t_j\},$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{i+j} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n)\in\mathbb{D}_+ : t_i + t_j = 0\} \quad\text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_i = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{D}_+ : t_i = 0\}$$

(exist if $m - n \geq 1$) are *Lyapunov hyperplanes* for the action α_0, i.e. kernels of Lyapunov exponents of α_0. Elements of $\mathbb{D}_+ \backslash \bigcup \mathbb{H}_r$(where $r = i \pm j, i$) are *regular* elements of the action. Connected components of the set of regular elements are $Weyl\ chambers$.

The smallest non-trivial intersections of stable foliations of various elements of the action α_0 are *Lyapunov* $foliations$. Each regular element either exponentially expands or exponentially contracts each of those leaves. For more details, see Section 3.3.

Definition 2.1. A two-dimensional plane $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{D}_+$ is in *general position* if it intersects any two distinct Lyapunov hyperplanes along distinct lines.

Let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{D}_+$ be a closed subgroup which contains a lattice $\mathbb{L}$ in a plane in general position and let $G = \exp \mathbb{G}$. One can naturally think of G as the image of an injective homomorphism
$i_0 : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to D_+$ (where $k + \ell \geq 2$).

Definition 2.2. The action $\alpha_{0,G}$ of G by left translations on X is given by

$$\alpha_{0,G}(a, x) = i_0(a) \cdot x \tag{2.1}$$

and will be referred to as a *higher-rank generic restriction of split Cartan actions* or just a *generic restriction* for short.

2.2. **Cocycles and rigidity.** Let $\alpha : A \times M \to M$ be an action of a topological group A on a compact Riemannian manifold M by diffeomorphisms. For a topological group Y a Y-valued *cocycle* (or *an one-cocycle*) over α is a continuous function $\beta : A \times M \to Y$ satisfying:

$$\beta(ab, x) = \beta(a, \alpha(b, x))\beta(b, x) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $a, b \in A$. A cocycle is *cohomologous to a constant cocycle* (cocycle not depending on x) if there exists a homomorphism $s : A \to Y$ and a continuous transfer map $H : M \to Y$ such that for all $a \in A$

$$\beta(a, x) = H(\alpha(a, x))s(a)H(x)^{-1} \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, a cocycle is a *coboundary* if it is cohomologous to the trivial cocycle $\pi(a) = id_Y$, $a \in A$, i.e. if for all $a \in A$ the following equation holds:

$$\beta(a, x) = H(\alpha(a, x))H(x)^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

For more detailed information on cocycles adapted to the present setting see [3]. Let

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

be the subgroup of $SU(m,n)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 =& \{ \mathrm{diag}\big(\exp z_1, \ldots, \exp z_n, \exp(-\overline{z_1}), \ldots, \exp(-\overline{z_n})\big) : \\ & (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \end{aligned}$$

and A_2 $(m-n) \times (m-n)$ unitary matrices. Let $Y_X = Y \cap SO^+(m,n)$ if $X = SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$(corr. $Y_X = Y$ if $X = SU(m,n)/\Gamma$). Y_X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times SO(m-n)$ when $X = SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times U(m-n)$ if $X = SU(m,n)/\Gamma$.

Let $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{D}_+$ be a 2-dimensional plane in general position. We will show (Theorem 3 in Section 3) that every small Hölder cocycle with values in Y_X over the action $\alpha_{0,G}$, where G is any subgroup of D_+ which contains $\exp \mathbb{P}$, is cohomologous to a constant cocycle. Similarly to the proofs in [2, 3] we use the geometric structure of Lyapunov foliations of the action. By applying the method of [2, 13] we show that a cocycle over a partially hyperbolic action with locally transitive Lyapunov foliations is cohomologous to a constant cocycle if and only if the periodic cycle functional (PCF) vanishes on all closed broken paths whose pieces lie in leaves of Lyapunov foliations of the action. Furthermore, the presentations of Schur multipliers of $SO^+(m,n)$ and $SU(m,n)$ that we will construct in Sections 5-7, give explicit description of closed broken paths along Lyapunov foliations which leads to vanishing of the PCF on all such paths and to cocycle rigidity. Smoothness of the transfer map for smooth cocycles is a consequence of the fact that for a generic restriction, the Lyapunov distributions along with their Lie brackets generate the tangent space at every point.

2.3. **Formulation of results.**

Our main results are contained in the following two theorems.

Theorem 1 (Differentiable rigidity of generic restrictions)**.** *Let* $\alpha_{0,G}$ *be a high rank generic restriction of the action of a maximal split Cartan subgroup on* $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ *or* $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$ *where* $m \geq n \geq 3$.

If $\tilde{\alpha}$ *is* C^∞ *action of* $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ *sufficiently* C^2*-close to* $\alpha_{0,G}$*, then there exists a homomorphism* $i : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to Y_X$ *close to* i_0 *and a* C^∞ *diffeomorphism* $h : X \to X$ *such that* $\tilde{\alpha}(a, h(x)) = h(i(a) \cdot x)$ *for all* $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

The principal ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the next theorem which is the main technical result of the present paper. It extends the cocycle rigidity result from [3, 5].

Theorem 2 (Cocycle rigidity for perturbations). *Let $\alpha_{0,G}$ be a generic restriction of the action of a maximal split Cartan subgroup on $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ or $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$ where $m \geq n \geq 3$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a sufficiently C^2-small C^1 perturbation of $\alpha_{0,G}$.*

If β is a Hõlder cocycle over $\tilde{\alpha}$ with values in Y_X then β is cohomologous to a constant cocycle given by a homomorphism $s : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to Y_X$ via a continuous transfer function. Furthermore, if the cocycle β is sufficiently small in a Hõlder norm the transfer map is C^0 arbitrary small.

Let $X_1 := M\backslash SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$, with $m \geq n \geq 3$, where $M = SO(m-n)$ and Γ a cocompact lattice in $SO^+(m,n)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\alpha_{0,G}$ be a high rank generic restriction of the action of a maximal split Cartan subgroup on $M\backslash SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ where $m \geq n \geq 3$.*

If $\tilde{\alpha}$ is C^∞ action of $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ sufficiently C^2-close to $\alpha_{0,G}$, then there exists a homomorphism $i : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to Y_X$ close to i_0 and a C^∞ diffeomorphism $h : X \to X$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(a, h(x)) = h(i(a) \cdot x)$ for all $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

The action by left translations of D_+ on X_1 is the Weyl chamber flow (WCF) and we denote this action by α_0. The following result is a special case of Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let α_0 be the WCF on $M\backslash SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ where $m \geq n \geq 3$. If $\tilde{\alpha}$ is C^∞ action sufficiently C^2-close to α_0, then there exists a homomorphism $i : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to D_+$ close to identity and a C^∞ diffeomorphism $h : X \to X$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(a, h(x)) = h(i(a) \cdot x)$.*

2.4. **Comments on related problems.** Results of this paper belong to the general program of establishing various flavors of local differentiable rigidity for partially hyperbolic algebraic actions of higher rank abelian groups. For general comments on that program see [4, Section 1]. We do not attempt a comprehensive overview of the current state of the program but restrict ourselves to few comments on those aspects that are closely related to our results.

First let us discuss generic restrictions of Cartan actions on other higher rank simple Lie groups.

The condition $n \geq 3$ for $SO^+(m,n)$ and $SU(m,n)$ is necessary for the method used in this paper. Algebraically, the complications in these cases are similar to those encountered in the case $n = 2$ for the linear Steinberg groups ([7] Section 1.4E). Geometrically, homotopy classes can't be reduced to each other using allowable substitution. Thus the cases of groups $SO^+(m, 2)$ and $SU(m, 2)$ remain open.

Using the technique in dealing with an infinite fundamental group similar to that we use in case of $SU(m, n)$, we can solve the cocycle rigidity and differentiable rigidity problem of generic restrictions for Cartan action on the split groups $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is left out in [5]. This result will appear in a separate paper.

Extension to generic restrictions of split Cartan actions for other classical non-split simple groups requires information about generators and relations not available from the literature. This is not surprising that this is already the case with the groups $SO(m, n)$ and $SU(m, n)$. While our general approach may (and probably should) work more techniques are needed for calculations of generators and relations of matrix groups of $SL(n, \mathbb{H})$, $SP(m, n)$ and $SO^*(2n)$. Those are defined over quaternions and one has to double the sizes of matrices to represent them by complex matrices which makes the "rotations" much more complex.

We have not looked into exceptional groups and are not aware of any effective way to solve the generators and relations problem for those groups. Similar to the quaternionic cases, one hopes that with sufficiently hard work those groups (with the possible exception of the rank two case) may be amenable to our method.

A necessary condition for applicability of the Damjanovic–Katok geometric method (although not for local rigidity) is that contracting distributions of various action elements and their brackets of all orders generate the tangent space to the phase space. Generic restrictions for Cartan actions satisfy that condition. Naturally one may look at non-generic restrictions of Cartan actions. Some of those still satisfy it but nothing is known even for the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ case since one cannot use the full force of the algebraic K-theory machinery. More detailed analysis of generators and relations may help resolve some of those cases.

The next natural step is to consider a similar problem on products of simple groups factored by irreducible lattices. There are certain cases that look amenable to the method. Finally, one may consider actions of higher-rank abelian subgroups on homogeneous spaces of compact extensions of simple or semisimple Lie groups. Since the compact fibers are included into the neutral directions one should consider cocycles with values in more general groups that are extensions of abelian groups by compact groups. Our methods can be extended to at least some of those cases.

3. Cocycle rigidity for the actions $\alpha_{0,G}$

The purpose of this section is to describe a geometric method for proving cocycle rigidity for this action following [2, 3].

Theorem 3. *Any Y_X-valued Hölder cocycle over the generic restriction of the split Cartan action on X is cohomologous to a constant cocycle via a Hölder C^∞ transfer function.*

Any Y_X-valued C^∞ cocycle over the generic restriction of the split Cartan action on X is cohomologous to a constant cocycle via a C^∞ transfer function.

3.1. **Preliminaries.**

Let $\alpha : A \to \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be an action of $A := \mathbb{R}^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on a compact manifold M by diffeomorphisms of M preserving an ergodic probability measure . Then there are finitely many linear functionals λ on A, called *Lyapunov exponents*, a set of full measure Λ and a measurable splitting of the tangent bundle $T_\Lambda M = \bigoplus_\lambda E^\lambda$, such that for $v \in E^\lambda$ and $a \in A$ the Lyapunov exponent of v with respect to $\alpha(a)$ is $\lambda(a)$.

If χ is a non-zero Lyapunov exponent then we define its *coarse Lyapunov subspace* by

$$E_\chi := \bigoplus_{\lambda = c\chi : c>0} E^\lambda.$$

For every $a \in A$ one can define stable, unstable and neutral subspaces for a by: $E^s_a = \bigoplus_{\lambda(a)<0} E^\lambda$, $E^u_a = \bigoplus_{\lambda(a)>0} E^\lambda$ and $E^0_a = \bigoplus_{\lambda(a)=0} E^\lambda$. In particular, for any $a \in A := \bigcap_{\chi\neq 0}(Ker\chi)^c$ the subspace E^0_a is the same and thus can be denoted simply by E^0. Hence we have for any such a :

$$TM = E^s_a \oplus E^0 \oplus E^u_a.$$

See [[12], Section 5.2] for more details. If in addition E^0 is a continuous distribution uniquely integrable to a foliation $\mathcal{N}$ with smooth leaves, and if there exists $a \in A$ such that $\alpha(a)$ is uniformly normally hyperbolic with respect to $\mathcal{N}$ (in the sense of the Hirsch-Pugh-Shub [10]) then α is a *partially hyperbolic action.* Elements in A which are uniformly normally hyperbolic with respect to $\mathcal{N}$ are called regular. Let $\widetilde{A}$ be the set of regular elements. We call an action a partially hyperbolic A action if the set $\widetilde{A}$ is dense in A. In particular, if E^0 is the tangent distribution to the orbit foliation of a normally hyperbolic action, then the action is called Anosov.

If the set $\widetilde{A}$ is dense in A, then for each non-zero Lyapunov exponent χ and every $p \in M$ the coarse Lyapunov distribution is:

$$E_\chi(p) = \bigcap_{a \in \widetilde{A}, \chi(a) < 0} E^s_a(p).$$

The right-hand side is Hölder continuous and E^χ can be extended to a Hölder distribution tangent to the foliation $\mathcal{T}_\chi := \bigcap_{a \in \widetilde{A}, \chi(a)<0} \mathcal{W}^s_a(p)$ with C^∞ leaves. This is the *coarse Lyapunov foliation* corresponding to χ (See [[2], Section 2] and [11]).

We denote by $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$ a maximal collection of non-zero Lyapunov exponents that are not positive multiples of one another and by $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_r$ the corresponding coarse Lyapunov foliations.

Given a foliation $\mathcal{T}_i$ and $x \in M$ we denote by $\mathcal{T}_i(x)$ the leaf of $\mathcal{T}_i$ through x.

3.2. **Paths and cycles for a collection of foliations.** .

In this section we recall some notation and results from [3]. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_r$ be a collection of mutually transversal continuous foliations on M, with smooth simply connected leaves.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_k \in \{1, \dots, r\}, k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ an ordered set of points $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x_1, \dots, x_N \in M$ is called an $\mathcal{T}$-path of length N if for every $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}, x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{j_k}(x_k)$. A closed $\mathcal{T}$-path(i.e., when $x_N = x_1$) is a $\mathcal{T}$-cycle.

A $\mathcal{T}$-cycle $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x_1, \dots, x_N = x_1 \in M$ is called *stable* for the A action α if there exists a regular element $a \in A$ such that the whole cycle p is contained in a leaf of the stable foliations for the map $\alpha(a, \cdot)$, i.e., if

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^N \{a : \chi_{j_k}(a) < 0\} \neq \phi.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x_1, \dots, x_N$ and $p_n(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x^n_1, \dots, x^n_N$ be two $\mathcal{T}$-paths. Then $p = \lim_{n\to\infty} p_n$ if for all $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$x_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^n_k.$$

Limits of $\mathcal{T}$-cycles are defined similarly.

Two $\mathcal{T}$-cycles, $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N+1}) : x_1, \dots, x_k, y, x_k, \dots, x_N = x_1$ and $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots x_N$ are said to be conjugate if $y \in \mathcal{T}_i(x_k)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. For $\mathcal{T}$-cycles, $p(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) : x_1, \dots, x_N = x_1$ and $p'(j'_1, \dots, j'_{K-1}) : x_1 = x'_1, \dots, x'_K = x_1$ define their composition or concatenation $p * p'$ by

$$p * p'(j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}, j'_1, \dots, j'_{K-1}) : x_1, \dots x_N, x'_1, \dots, x'_K = x_1.$$

Let $\mathcal{AS}^s_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$ denote the collection of stable $\mathcal{T}$-cycles. Let $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$ denote the collection of $\mathcal{T}$-cycles which contains $\mathcal{AS}^s_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$ and is closed under conjugation, concatenation of cycles, and under the limitation procedure defined above. $\mathcal{AS}^x_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$ denotes the subset of $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$ which contain point x.

A path $p : x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_N$ reduces to a path $p^{'} : x_1, x_2^{'}, \ldots, x_k^{'}, \ldots, x_N^{'}$ via an *α-allowable* $\mathcal{T}$-substitution if the $\mathcal{T}$-cycle

$$p * p^{'} : x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N, x_{N-1}^{'}, \ldots, x_2^{'}, x_1$$

obtained by concatenation of p and $p^{'}$ is in the collection $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$.

Two $\mathcal{T}$-cycle c_1 and c_2 are α-equivalent if c_1 reduces to c_2 via a finite sequence of α-allowable $\mathcal{T}$ -substitutions. A $\mathcal{T}$-cycle we call α-reducible if it is in $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{T}}(\alpha)$.

Definition 3.2. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ an ordered set of points $p(j_1, \ldots, j_N) : x_1, \ldots, x_N, x_{N+1} = x_1 \in M$ is called an $\mathcal{T}$-cycle of length N if for every $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{j_k}(x_k)$. A $\mathcal{T}$ cycle which consists of a single point is a trivial $\mathcal{T}$-cycle.

Definition 3.3. Foliations $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_r$ are locally transitive if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in M$ and for every $y \in B_X(x, \delta)$ (where $B_M(x, \delta)$ is a δ ball in M) there is a $\mathcal{T}$-path $p(j_1, \ldots, j_{N-1}) : x = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N = y$ in the ball $B_M(x, \varepsilon)$ such that $x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{j_k}(x_k)$ and $d_{\mathcal{T}_{j_k}(x_k)}(x_{k+1}, x_k) < 2\varepsilon$.

In other words, any two sufficiently close points can be connected by a $\mathcal{T}$-path of not more than N pieces of a given bounded length. Here, for a submanifold Y in M, $d_Y(x, y)$ denotes the infimum of lengths of smooth curves in Y connecting x and y.

Definition 3.4. For a partially hyperbolic A-action α on a compact manifold M with coarse Lyapunov foliations $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_r$ and for a cocycle $\beta : AM \to Y$ over α, where Y is a Lie group, we define Y-valued potential of β as

$$\begin{cases} P_a^j(y, x) = \lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} \beta(na, y)^{-1} \beta(na, x), & \chi_j(a) < 0 \\ P_a^j(y, x) = \lim\limits_{n \to -\infty} \beta(na, y)^{-1} \beta(na, x), & \chi_j(a) > 0 \end{cases}$$

Now for any $\mathcal{T}$-cycle $\mathfrak{c} : x_1, \ldots, x_{N+1} = x_1$ on M, we can define the corresponding periodic cycle functional:

$$\mathrm{PCF}(\mathfrak{c})(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_a^{j(i)}(x_i, x_{i+1})(\beta). \tag{3.1}$$

It is proved in [2] that the expression for (PCF) does not depend on the choice of a. For a general Lie group H there may be a "competition" between the exponential speed of decay for the distance between nax and nay on the one hand, and the exponential growth of the cocycle norm on the other. More information about guaranteeing convergence of non-abelian potentials can be found in [2].

In this paper, we only consider $Y = Y_X$ which possesses a bi-invariant metric, so the limits in the right hand part of 3.1 always exist.

Two essential properties of the PCF which are crucial for our purpose are that PCF is continuous and that it is invariant under the operation of moving cycles around by elements of the action α. We end this section with an important proposition which is the base of our further proof.

Proposition 3.1. (Proposition 4. [2]) *Let α be an $\mathbb{R}^k$ action by diffeomorphisms on a compact Riemannian manifold M such that a dense set of elements of $\mathbb{R}^k$ acts normally hyperbolically with respect to an invariant foliation. If the foliations $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are locally transitive and if β is a Hölder cocycle over the action α such that $F(\mathcal{C})(\beta) = 0$ for any cycle $\mathcal{C}$ then: β is cohomologous to a constant cocycle via a continuous map $h : M \to Y$.*

3.3. **Split Cartan actions on $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ and $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$.**

We use notations from Section 2.1. Let $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ denote a right invariant metric on $SO^+(m,n)$ and the induced metric on $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$. We use $e_{k,\ell}$ to denote the matrix with the (k,ℓ) element equal to 1, and all other elements equal to 0. Let $1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$ be two distinct indices, $\ell \le m-n$, and let exp be the exponentiation map for matrices.

Let Φ be the root system of $SO^+(m,n)$ with respect to D_+. The roots are $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j \le n)$, whose dimensions are one and $\pm L_i (1 \le i \le n)$ are also roots if $m \ge n+1$ with dimensions $m-n$. The corresponding root spaces are

$$\mathfrak{g}_{L_i+L_j} = \mathbb{R}(e_{i,j+n} - e_{j,i+n})_{i<j}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{L_i-L_j} = \mathbb{R}(e_{i,j} - e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\ne j},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-L_i-L_j} = \mathbb{R}(e_{j+n,i} - e_{i+n,j})_{i<j},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{L_i} = \bigoplus_{\ell\le m-n} \mathbb{R} f^{\ell}_{L_i}, \text{ where } f^{\ell}_{L_i} = e_{i,2n+\ell} - e_{2n+\ell,i+n},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-L_i} = \bigoplus_{\ell\le m-n} \mathbb{R} f^{\ell}_{-L_i}, \text{ where } f^{\ell}_{-L_i} = e_{i+n,2n+\ell} - e_{2n+\ell,i}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{L_i+L_j}=(e_{i,j+n}-e_{j,i+n})_{i<j}, \qquad f_{L_i-L_j}=(e_{i,j}-e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j},\\ &f_{-L_i-L_j}=(e_{j+n,i}-e_{i+n,j})_{i<j}. \end{aligned}$$

With these notations for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $a=(a_1,...,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ we define foliations F_r for $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j, i\neq j$ and F_ρ for $\rho=\pm L_i$ for which the leaf through x

$$F_r(x)=\exp(tf_r)x, \qquad F_\rho(x)=\big(\prod_j \exp(a_j f_\rho^j)\big)x \tag{3.2}$$

consists of all left multiples of x by matrices of the form $F_r(t)$ or $F_\rho(a)$.

The foliations F_r and F_ρ are invariant under α_0. In fact, let $\mathfrak{t}=(t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_n)\in\mathbb{D}_+$, for $\forall t\in\mathbb{R}$ we have Lie bracket relations

$$[\mathfrak{t},tf_r]=r(\mathfrak{t})tf_r, \qquad [\mathfrak{t},tf_\rho^\ell]=\alpha(\mathfrak{t})tf_\rho^\ell$$

where $r(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i\pm t_j$ if $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j$; $\rho(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i$ if $\rho=\pm L_i$.

Using the basic identity for any square matrices X,Y:

$$\exp X\exp Y=\exp(e^sY)\exp X, \text{ if } [X,Y]=sY,$$

it follows

$$\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})\big(\exp(tf_r)\big)x=\exp(te^{r(\mathfrak{t})}f_r)\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})\big(\prod_j \exp(a_jf_\rho^j)\big)x=\big(\prod_j \exp(a_je^{\rho(\mathfrak{t})}f_\rho^j)\big)\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x \tag{3.4}$$

where $r(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i\pm t_j$ if $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j$; $\rho(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i$ if $\rho=\pm L_i$. Hence the leaf $F_r(x)$ is mapped into $F_r(\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x)$ and $F_\rho(x)$ is mapped into $F_\rho(\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x)$. Consequently the foliation F_r and F_ρ are contracted (corr. expanded or neutral) under $\mathfrak{t}$ if $r(\mathfrak{t})<0$ (corr. $r(\mathfrak{t})>0$ or $r(\mathfrak{t})=0$). If the foliation F_r and F_ρ are neutral under $\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})$, it is in fact isometric under $\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})$. The leaves of the orbit foliation is $\mathcal{O}(x)=\{\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x:\mathfrak{t}\in\mathbb{D}_+\}$.

The tangent vectors to the leaves in (3.2) for various r and ρ together with their length one Lie brackets form a basis of the tangent space at every $x\in X$.

Let Φ' be the root system of $SU(m,n)$ with respect to D_+. The roots are $\pm L_i\pm L_j (i<j\leq n)$, whose dimensions are 2 and $\pm 2L_i (i\leq n)$ whose dimension is 1. Also the $\pm L_i (i\leq n)$ are roots if $m\neq n$ with

dimensions $2(m-n)$. The corresponding root spaces are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{L_i+L_j} &= \mathbb{R}(e_{i,j+n}-e_{j,i+n})_{i<j}\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}(e_{i,j+n}+e_{j,i+n})_{i<j},\\
\mathfrak{g}_{L_i-L_j} &= \mathbb{R}(e_{i,j}-e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j}\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}(e_{i,j}+e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j},\\
\mathfrak{g}_{-L_i-L_j} &= \mathbb{R}(e_{j+n,i}-e_{i+n,j})_{i<j}\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}(e_{j+n,i}+e_{i+n,j})_{i<j},\\
\mathfrak{g}_{L_i} &= \bigoplus_{\ell\leq m-n}\big(\mathbb{R}(e_{i,2n+\ell}-e_{2n+\ell,i+n})\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}(e_{i,2n+\ell}+e_{2n+\ell,i+n})\big),\\
\mathfrak{g}_{-L_i} &= \bigoplus_{\ell\leq m-n}\big(\mathbb{R}(e_{i+n,2n+\ell}-e_{2n+\ell,i})\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}(e_{i+n,2n+\ell}+e_{2n+\ell,i})\big),\\
\mathfrak{g}_{-2L_i} &= \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}e_{i+n,i},\qquad \mathfrak{g}_{2L_i}=\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}e_{i,i+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{L_i+L_j}(z) &= (ze_{i,j+n}-\overline{z}e_{j,i+n})_{i<j}, & f_{L_i-L_j}(z) &= (ze_{i,j}-\overline{z}e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j},\\
f_{-L_i-L_j}(z) &= (ze_{j+n,i}-\overline{z}e_{i+n,j})_{i<j}, & f^{\ell}_{L_i}(z) &= ze_{i,2n+\ell}-\overline{z}e_{2n+\ell,i+n},\\
f^{\ell}_{-L_i}(z) &= ze_{i+n,2n+\ell}-\overline{z}e_{2n+\ell,i}, & f_{2L_i}(t) &= t\mathrm{i}e_{i,i+n},\\
f_{-2L_i}(t) &= t\mathrm{i}e_{i+n,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

With these notations, for $z\in\mathbb{C}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $a=(a_1,\dots,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ individual expanding and contracting foliations are similarly given by F_r for $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j, i\neq j$ and F_ρ for $\rho=\pm L_i$ for which the leaf through x

(3.5)
$$F_r(z)=\exp\big(f_r(z)\big)x,\qquad F_\rho(t,a)=\exp\big(f_{2\rho}(t)\big)\exp\big(\sum_j f^j_\rho(a_j)\big)x$$

consists of all left multiples of x by matrices of the form $F_r(t)$ or $F_\rho(a)$.

The foliations F_r and F_ρ are invariant under α_0. In fact, let $\mathfrak{t}=(t_1,t_2,\dots,t_n)\in\mathbb{D}_+$, for $\forall z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$ we have Lie bracket relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathfrak{t},f_r(z)]=r(\mathfrak{t})f_r(z), \qquad [\mathfrak{t},f^{\ell}_\rho(z)]=\alpha(\mathfrak{t})f^{\ell}_\rho(z),\\
&[\mathfrak{t},f_{2\rho}(t)]=2\rho(\mathfrak{t})f_{2\rho}(t)
\end{aligned}$$

where $r(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i\pm t_j$ if $\rho=\pm L_i\pm L_j$; $\rho(\mathfrak{t})=\pm t_i$ if $\rho=\pm L_i$.

Using the basic identity for any square matrices X,Y:

$$\exp X\exp Y=\exp(e^sY)\exp X,\ \text{ if } [X,Y]=sY,$$

it follows

$$\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})\exp\big(f_r(z)\big)x = \exp\big(f_r(e^{r(\mathfrak{t})}z)\big)\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})\exp\big(f_{2\rho}(t)\big)\exp\big(\sum_j f_\rho^j(a_j)\big)x\\ &= \exp\big(f_{2\rho}(e^{2\rho(\mathfrak{t})}t)\big)\exp\big(\sum_j f_\rho^j(e^{\rho(\mathfrak{t})}a_j)\big)\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $r(\mathfrak{t}) = \pm t_i \pm t_j$ if $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j$; $\rho(\mathfrak{t}) = \pm t_i$ if $\rho = \pm L_i$. Hence the leaf $F_r(x)$ is mapped into $F_r(\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x)$ and $F_\rho(x)$ is mapped into $F_\rho(\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x)$. Consequently the foliation F_r and F_ρ are contracted (corr. expanded or neutral) under $\mathfrak{t}$ if $r(\mathfrak{t}) < 0$ (corr. $r(\mathfrak{t}) > 0$ or $r(\mathfrak{t}) = 0$). If the foliation F_r and F_ρ are neutral under $\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})$, they are in fact isometric under $\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})$. The leaves of the orbit foliation are $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{\alpha_0(\mathfrak{t})x : \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}_+\}$.

The tangent vectors to the leaves in (3.5) for various r and ρ together with their length one Lie brackets form a basis of the tangent space at every $x \in X$.

If $\mathbb{P}$ is a 2-plane in general position then the foliations F_r and F_ρ are also Lyapunov foliations for $\alpha_{0,\mathbb{P}}$. The leaves of F_r and F_ρ are intersections of the leaves of stable manifolds of the action by different elements of $\mathbb{P}$. The same holds for the action by any regular lattice in $\mathbb{P}$ and thus for any generic restriction $\alpha_{0,G}$. The neutral foliation for a generic restriction $\alpha_{0,G}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}_0$.

Remark 3.1. If $m \geq n+1$, neutral foliation of the full split Cartan action, as well as any generic restriction contains not only the orbit foliation, but also compact part of the centralizer of the maximal split Cartan subgroup. In fact, the neutral foliation of the Cartan action is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_0(x) = \{Y_X \cdot x : x \in X\}.$$

The above discussion can be summarized as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *(1) Non-zero Lyapunov exponents for the full Cartan action on* $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ *are* $\pm t_i \pm t_j$*(each has multiplicity 1)and* $\pm t_i$*(each has multiplicity* $m-n$*) where* $i \neq j$ *and* $1 \leq i,j \leq n$*. Zero Lyapunov exponent comes from the neutral foliation and has multiplicity* $n + \frac{(m-n-1)(m-n)}{2}$*. Consequently any matrix* $d \in D_+$ *whose first* n *diagonal entries are pairwise different acts normally hyperbolically on* $SO^+(m,n)/\Gamma$ *with respect to the neutral foliation and hence is only partially hyperbolic.*

(2) If $m \geq n+1$*, non-zero Lyapunov exponents for the full Cartan action on* $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$ *are* $\pm t_i \pm t_j$*(each has multiplicity 2) and*

$\pm t_i$(each has multiplicity $2m$) where $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$; if $m = n$, non-zero Lyapunov exponents are $\pm t_i \pm t_j$, $i \neq j$(each has multiplicity 2) and $\pm 2t_i$(each has multiplicity 1) where $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Zero Lyapunov exponent comes from the neutral foliation and has multiplicity $2n+(m-n)^2-1$. Any matrix $d \in D_+$ whose first n diagonal entries are pairwise different acts normally hyperbolically on $SU(m,n)/\Gamma$ with respect to the neutral foliation.

3.4. **Generating relations and Steinberg symbols.** In this section we state two theorems which play a crucial role in proofs of Theorem 3. The proof of those theorems are given in Section 5–7 which comprise the algebraic part of the paper.

We use notation set in Section 3.3. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ in a obvious way, there is no confusion if we write $F_r(t, 0, \dots, 0) = F_r(t)$ for $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j$. On the other hand, if we write $F_r(a)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$, then $a = (a_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4. *$SO^+(m,n)$, $3 \leq n \leq m$ is generated by $F_r(a)$, where $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j, \pm L_i$, $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ subject to the relations:*

$$F_r(a)F_r(b) = F_r(a+b), \tag{3.8}$$

$$[F_r(a), F_p(b)] = \prod_{ir+jp\in\Phi, i,j>0} F_{ir+jp}(g_{ijpr}(a,b)), r+p \neq 0 \tag{3.9}$$

$$[F_r(a), F_p(b)] = \mathrm{id}, \qquad 0 \neq r+p \notin \Phi, \tag{3.10}$$

here $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ and g_{ijpr} are functions of a, b depending only on the structure of $SO^+(m,n)$;

$$h_{L_1-L_2}(t)h_{L_1-L_2}(s) = h_{L_1-L_2}(ts), \tag{3.11}$$

where $h_{L_1-L_2}(t) = F_{L_1-L_2}(t)F_{L_2-L_1}(-t^{-1})F_{L_1-L_2}(t)F_{L_1-L_2}(-1)F_{L_2-L_1}(1)F_{L_1-L_2}(-1)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$;

$$h_{L_1-L_2}(-1)h_{L_1+L_2}(-1) = \mathrm{id}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $h_{L_1+L_2}(t) = F_{L_1+L_2}(t)F_{-L_1-L_2}(-t^{-1})F_{L_1+L_2}(t)F_{L_1+L_2}(-1)F_{-L_1-L_2}(1)F_{L_1+L_2}(-1)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$;

(3.13)

$$h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a, \sqrt{2}b)h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}c, \sqrt{2}d) = h^1_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}(ac-bd), \sqrt{2}(ad+bc)\big),$$

where

$$h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a, \sqrt{2}b) = F^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)F^2_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}b)F^1_{-L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)F^2_{-L_n}(\sqrt{2}b)F^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)F^2_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}b)$$
$$\cdot F^1_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2})F^1_{-L_n}(-\sqrt{2})F^1_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2})$$

for each $(a,b)\in S^1$.

If $n\le m\le n+1$, *there is no relation 3.13.*

Now we consider the group $SU(m,n)$. We write $F_r(0,z,0,\dots,0)=F_r(z)$ for $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j$ where $z\in\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, if we write $F_r(a)$ where $a\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$, then $a=(0,a_1,0,\dots,0)$ for some $a_1\in\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 5. *Let* Φ *be the root system of* $SU(m,n)$ $3\le n\le m$. *Then* $SU(m,n)$ *is generated by* $F_r(a)$ *where* $r=\pm L_i\pm L_j,\pm L_i$, $i\ne j$ *and* $a\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ *subject to the relations:*

$$F_r(a)F_r(b)=F_r(a+b), \tag{3.14}$$

$$[F_r(a),F_p(b)]=\prod_{\substack{i,j>0\\ ir+jp\in\Phi}}F_{ir+jp}(N_{r,p,i,j}(a,b)),r+p\ne 0 \tag{3.15}$$

$$[F_r(a),F_p(b)]=e,\qquad 0\ne r+p\notin\Phi, \tag{3.16}$$

here $a,b\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$, $N_{r,p,i,j}$ *are funtions depend only on the structure of* $SU(m,n)$*;*

and the following relations:

$$h_{L_1-L_2}(z_1)h_{L_1-L_2}(z_2)=h_{L_1-L_2}(z_1z_2) \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}h_{L_1-L_2}(z)=&F_{L_1-L_2}(z)F_{L_2-L_1}(-z^{-1})F_{L_1-L_2}(z)\\ &\cdot F_{L_1-L_2}(-1)F_{L_2-L_1}(1)F_{L_1-L_2}(-1)\end{aligned}$$

for each $z\in\mathbb{C}^*$*;*

$$h_{2L_n}(-1)h_{2L_n}(-1)=\mathrm{id}, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$h_{2L_n}(-1)=\big(F_{L_n}(-1,0,\dots,0)F_{-L_n}(-1,0,\dots,0)F_{L_n}(-1,0,\dots,0)\big)^2;$$

and

(3.19)
$$h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}c,\sqrt{2}d)=h^1_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}(ac-bd),\sqrt{2}(ad+bc)\big)$$

(3.20)
$$h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b\mathrm{i})h^1_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}c,\sqrt{2}d\mathrm{i})=h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}(ac-bd),\sqrt{2}(ad+bc)\mathrm{i}\big)$$

for each $(a,b),(c,d)\in S^1$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned}h^1_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}z,\sqrt{2}w)=&F_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}z,\sqrt{2}w,0,\dots,0)F_{-L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}z,\sqrt{2}w,0,\dots,0)\\ &\cdot F_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}z,\sqrt{2}w,0,\dots,0)F_{L_n}(0,-\sqrt{2},0,\dots,0)\\ &\cdot F_{-L_n}(0,-\sqrt{2},0,\dots,0)\cdot F_{L_n}(0,-\sqrt{2},0,\dots,0)\end{aligned}$$

for $(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ *with* $|z|^2+|w|^2=1$.

If $n\le m\le n+1$ *there are no relations 3.19 and 3.20.*

Relations 3.8–3.12 in Theorem 4 and 3.14–3.18 in Theorem 5 are similar to those in split groups [23]; while relation 3.19 and 3.20 are dealing with "rotations" inside the compact part of the centralizer of the maximal split Cartan subgroups.

Any bi-multiplicative map $c:\mathbb{K}^*\times\mathbb{K}^*\to B$ into an abelian group B satisfying $c(t,1-t)=1_B$ is called a *Steinberg symbol* on the field $\mathbb{K}$. We will use the following result about continuous Steinberg symbols for the field $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ [21]:

Theorem 6 (Milnor)**.** *a)Every continuous Steinberg symbol on the field* $\mathbb{C}$ *of complex numbers is trivial.*
b) If $c(t,s)$ *is a continuous Steinberg symbol on the field* $\mathbb{R}$*, then* $c(t,s)=1$ *if* s *or* t *are positive, and* $c(t,s)=c(-1,-1)$ *has order at most 2 if* s *and* t *are both negative.*

The following Lemma treats a case which occurs in the proof of Theorem 3 when one can study reducible classes within homotopy classes of Lyapunov-cycles.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* L *be an irreducible lattice in* $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ *(corr.* $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$*) where* $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ *is the universal cover of* $SO^+(m,n)$ *(corr.* $SU(m,n)$*). Then for any homomorphism from* L *to* Y_X*, the order of image* $h(L)$ *is bounded by a number only dependent on* $m-n$*.*

Proof. We first consider the case of $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$. Let h be a homomorphism from L to Y_X. We decompose $h=(h_1,h_2)$ where h_1 maps L to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and h_2 maps L to $SO(m-n)$. By the Margulis Normal Subgroup Theorem [17, 4' Theorem], h_1 is trivial. Thus h can be considered as a homomorphism from L to $SO(m-n)$. By [17, (3)Theorem], Zariski closure of $\overline{h(L)}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group. We first show that $h(L)$ is finite. Suppose it is not finite. Since $\overline{h(L)}/\overline{h(L)}^0$ is finite, we can assume $\overline{h(L)}$ is connected. Then $\overline{h(L)}$ decomposes uniquely into (up to permutation of the factors) a direct product of $\mathbb{Q}$-almost simple algebraic linear groups. We can assume $\overline{h(L)}$ is almost simple. Compose h with a Galois automorphism σ of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ to matrix coefficients of elements from $h(L)$, then $\sigma h(L)$ is a non-compact subgroup of $\overline{h(L)}=\sigma\overline{h(L)}$. By finiteness of $Z(\overline{h(L)})$, we can assume $(\sigma h)'$ is from L to $\overline{h(L)}/Z(\overline{h(L)})$. By Margulis lattice superrigidity Theorem [17, 2'Theorem], $(\sigma h)'$ can be extended to a continuous homomorphism $\widetilde{h}$ from $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ to $\overline{h(L)}/Z(\overline{h(L)})$. We can assume $\widetilde{h}$

is from $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ to $\overline{h(L)}$. By simplicity of $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$(in the meaning of Lie groups), $\ker(\tilde{h}) \subseteq Z\big(\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)\big)$ or $\ker(\tilde{h}) = \widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$. While $\ker(\tilde{h}) = \widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ contradicts the infiniteness of $h(L)$. Notice $\overline{h(L)} \subseteq SO(m-n,\mathbb{C})$. Thus we get a continues isomorphism from $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)/\ker(\tilde{h})$ to its image, which contradicts the fact the the maximal connected compact subgroup in $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ is the universal cover of $SO(n) \times SO(m)$ while in $SO(m-n,\mathbb{C})$ is $SO(m-n)$. Hence we proved that $h(L)$ is finite.

Next, we show this number is bounded independence of the homomorphism. By Jordan's theorem which claims that any finite group $G \subseteq GL(\ell,\mathbb{C})$ contains a normal abelian subgroup whose index is at most $j(\ell)$, we let the biggest normal abelian subgroup in $h(L)$ be A. Consider the restriction of h from $h^{-1}(A)$ to A. The index of $[L : h^{-1}(A)]$ is bounded by $j(m-n)$. There are only finitely many sublattices L' in L with the index smaller than $j(m-n)$, the arguments go as follows: first, every subgroup of finite index in L contains a normal subgroup of L with index dividing $j(m-n)!$. So, it suffices to check that the number of normal subgroups of index smaller than $j(m-n)$ is finite. Such normal subgroups are exactly the kernels of (surjective) homomorphisms of L into a finite group of order smaller than $j(m-n)$. Recall that the set of finite groups of order small than $j(m-n)$ is finite (up to an isomorphism). On the other hand, since L is finitely generated (as a group)[17], the set of homomorphisms of L into a given finite group is finite.

Since $[h^{-1}(A) : [h^{-1}(A), h^{-1}(A)]]$ is finite[17, 4' Theorem], it is bounded by a number $i(m-n)$ which depends only on $m-n$ by above analysis. The order of A is bounded by $i(m-n)$ by its abelian property. Hence the order of $h(L)$ is bounded by $i(m-n)j(m-n)$.

For $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$, Notice here $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$ where h_1 maps L to $\mathbb{R}^n$, h_2 maps L to $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ and h_3 maps L to $U(m-n)$. h_1 is trivial by Margulis Normal Subgroup Theorem; order of $h_2(L)$ is bounded by $[L,[L,L]]$. To prove finiteness of $h_3(L)$, we can assume it is from L to $SU(m-n)$. Similar arguments hold to get a continuous isomorphism from $\widetilde{SU}(m-n)/D$ to a subgroup inside $SL(m-n,\mathbb{C})$, since the real locus of $SL(m-n,\mathbb{C})$ is $SU(m-n)$, where D is inside the center of $\widetilde{SU}(m-n)$. Thus $[Z(\widetilde{SU}(m-n)) : D]$ is finite by the fact that every simple matrix group has finite center, which contradicts the fact that the maximal connected compact subgroups in $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)/D$ is a finite lift of $S\big(U(m) \times U(n)\big)$, while in $SL(m-n,\mathbb{C})$ is $SU(m-n)$. The next step to prove the uniform bound of order of $h_3(L)$ is exactly the same as previous case. $\square$

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.** Notice $\alpha_{0,G}$ can be lifted to a G-action on $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$(corr. $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$) where $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ is the universal cover of $SO^+(m,n)$(corr. $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$ is the universal cover of $SU(m,n)$). We denote the new action by $\widetilde{\alpha}_{0,G}$ and the projection from $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$(corr. $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$) to $SO^+(m,n)$(corr. $SU(m,n)$) by p. We proceed in exactly the same manner as in [2].

At first we show the cocycle rigidity for Hölder cocycles. The invariant foliations that we considered in section 3.3 are F_r and F_ρ where $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j, i \neq j$ and $\rho = \pm L_i$. Notice that those foliations are smooth and their Lie brackets at length one generate the whole tangent space. This implies that this system of foliations is locally $1/2-Hölder$ transitive ([[13], Section 4, Proposition 1]). Hence the lifted foliations which we still denote by F_r and F_ρ without confusion are locally transitive on the universal covering spaces. Every such cycle represents a relation in the group. The word represented by this cycle can be written as a product of conjugates of basic relations in Theorem 4 and 5 that can be lifted to closed cycles in the universal covering spaces.

At first we consider $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ which is a 4-fold covering space of $SO^+(m,n)$ if $m \geq n \geq 3$. Since F_r and F_ρ are Lyapunov foliations for the full Cartan action and therefore for any generic restriction $\alpha_{0,\mathbb{P}}$(see Propostion 7 in [2]), which implies these relations of the type (3.8), (6.4) and (3.10) are contained in a leaf of the stable manifold for some element of the action.

For relation (3.12), in proof of Theorem (4), we showed that if doubled, it is lifted to a closed cycle in the universal cover and afteran allowable substitution, it is reducible.

For relation (3.11) follow exactly the same way as in Milnors proof in [[21], Theorem A1] or in [3], we can show that if doubled, they are contractble and in $\mathcal{AS}_F(\alpha)$(defined in Definition 3.1), thus these doubled relation is lifted to closed cycles in $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ and after an allowable substitution, it is reducible.

For relations (3.13), since it is a symbol defined on S^1, follow exactly the same way as in Milnors proof in [[21], Theorem A1], we can show they are contractble and in $\mathcal{AS}_F(\alpha)$, thus these relations are lifted to closed cycles in $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ and after allowable substitution, they are reducible.

Now we consider $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$. Follow exactly the same way as in the proof of the previous case, we are though all the relations except (3.18). Notice $h_{2L_n}(-1)$ =diag$(1,\dots,\underset{n}{-1},1,\dots,\underset{2n}{-1},\dots,1)$, thus homotopy classes of $\big(h_{2L_n}(-1)h_{2L_n}(-1)\big)^k(k\in\mathbb{Z})$ generate the fundermental group of $SU(m,n)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence we don't need to consider this relation in $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$.

Finally, to cancel conjugations one notices that canceling $F_r(t)F_r(t)^{-1} =$ id or $F_\rho(a)F_\rho(a)^{-1} =$ id are also an allowed substitution and each conjugation can be canceled inductively using that.

Thus, the value of the periodic cycle functional for any Hölder cocycle β depends only on the element of $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ this cycle represents. Notice $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an irreducible lattice also. Furthermore, these values provide a homomorphism from $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ to Y_X. By Lemma 3.1, orders of images of any homomorphism are bounded by a number depending only on $m-n$, which means no non-trivial homomorphism or it contradicts the smallness of the cocyle.

Hence all periodic cycle functionals vanish on β. Now Proposition 3.1 implies that β is cohomologous to a constant cocycle via a Hölder transfer function.

Now consider the case of C^∞ cocycles. Notice that the transfer function H constructed using periodic cycle functionals is C^∞ along the stable foliations of various elements of the action. Now a general result stating that in case the smooth distributions along with their Lie brackets generate the tangent space at any point of a manifold a function smooth along corresponding foliations is necessarily smooth (see [16] for a detailed discussion and references to proofs), implies that the transfer map H is C^∞.

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

The neutral foliation for a generic restriction $\alpha_{0,G}$ is a smooth foliation, we may use the Hirsch-Pugh-Shub structural stability theorem [[10], Chapter 6]. Namely if $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ is a sufficiently C^1-small perturbation of $\alpha_{0,G}$ then for all elements $a\in A$ which are regular for $\alpha_{0,G}$ and sufficiently away from non-regular ones (denote this set by $\overline{A}$) are also regular for $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$. The central distribution is the same for any $a\in\overline{A}$ and is uniquely integrable to an $\widetilde{\alpha}_G(a,\cdot)$-invariant foliation which we denote by $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover, there is a Hölder homeomorphism $\widetilde{h}$ of X, C^0 close to the id_X, which maps leaves of $\mathcal{N}_0$ to leaves of $\mathcal{N}$: $\widetilde{h}\mathcal{N}_0=\mathcal{N}$. This homeomorphism is uniquely defined in the transverse direction, i.e. up to a homeomorphism preserving $\mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{h}$ can be chosen smooth and C^1 close to the identity along the leaves of $\mathcal{N}_0$ although we will not use the latter fact. Clearly the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{N}_0$ are preserved by every $a \in \overline{A}$. The action α_G is Hölder but it is smooth and C^1-close to $\alpha_{0,G}$ along the leaves of the neutral foliation $\mathcal{N}_0$.

Let us define an action α_G of G on X as the conjugate of $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ by the map $\widetilde{h}$ obtained from the Hirsch-Pugh- Shub stability theorem:

$$\alpha_G := \widetilde{h}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_G \circ \widetilde{h}$$

Since the action α_G is a C^0 small perturbation of $\alpha_{0,G}$ along the leaves of the neutral foliation of $\alpha_{0,G}$ whose leaves are $\{Y_X \cdot x : x \in X\}$, we have that α_G is given by a map $\beta : (\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \times X \to Y$ by

$$\alpha_G(a,x) = \beta(a,x) \cdot \alpha_{0,G}(a,x) \tag{4.1}$$

for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $x \in X$. Notice that since α_G is a small perturbation of the action by left translations $\alpha_{0,G}$, it can be lifted to a G-action $\overline{\alpha}_G$ on $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$(corr. $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$) commuting with the right $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ action on $\widetilde{SO}^+(m,n)$ (corr. $\widetilde{SU}(m,n)$), and β is lifted to a cocycle $\overline{\beta}$ over $\overline{\alpha}_G$ (for more details see [[18], example 2.3]). In particular we have:

$$\overline{\beta}(ab,x) = \overline{\beta}(a, \overline{\alpha}_G(b,x))\overline{\beta}(b,x).$$

Let $U : U_1, \dots, U_r$ denote the invariant unipotent foliations for the lifted action $\overline{\alpha}_{0,G}$ of $\alpha_{0,G}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ which projects to invariant Lyapunov foliations for $\alpha_{0,G}$; and let $T : T_1, \dots, T_r$ denote invariant Lyapunov foliations for lifted $\overline{\alpha}_G$ which projects to invariant Lyapunov foliations for α_G. Notice that the latter foliations have only Hölder leaves but we are justified in calling them Lyapunov foliations since they are images of Lyapunov foliations for a smooth perturbed action under a Hölder conjugacy. Denote the neutral foliation $\mathcal{N}_0$ on the covering space by N_0. An immediate corollary of the result of Brin and Pesin [1] on persistence of local transitivity of stable and unstable foliations of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms and the fact that the collection of homogeneous Lyapunov foliations $U : U_1, \dots, U_r$ is locally transitive and $T : T_1, \dots, T_r$ is transitive and they are leafwise C^0 close. Following the proof line closely with only trivial modifications from those of [Section 6.2, 6.2 and 6.4 [3]], and [Section 5.3,5.4, [5]], we can show U-cycles and T-cycles project to each other along the neutral foliations (precise definitions are in [Section 6.2,[3]]), which implies:

Proposition 4.1. *The lifted cocycle for the perturbed action $\overline{\alpha}_G$ is cohomologous to a constant cocycle.*

By Proposition 4.1, the value of the periodic cycle functional for *Hõlder* cocycle β over $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ or its *Hõlder* conjugate α_G depends only on the element of $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ this cycle represents. Using the same trick as in proof of Theorem 3, we can show every homomorphism from $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ to Y_X is trivial. Thus we proved Theorem 2.

Thus by Theorem 2, β is cohomologous to a small constant cocycle $s : \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \to Y_X$ via a continuous transfer map $H : X \to Y_X$ which can be chosen close to identity in C^0 topology if the perturbation $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ small in C^2 topology.

Let us consider the map $h'(x) := H^{-1}(x) \cdot x$. We have from the cocycle equation 4.1 and the cohomology equation 2.3

$$h'(\alpha_G(a, x)) = \alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}(a, h'(x))$$

where $\alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}(a, x) := i(a) \cdot x$, where $i(a) := s(a)i_0(a), a \in A$ and i_0 is as in 2.1. Since the map h' is C^0 close to the identity it is surjective and thus the action α_G is semi-conjugate to the standard perturbation $\alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}$ of $\alpha_{0,G}$, i.e. $\alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}$ is a factor of α_G. It is enough to prove that h' is injective. By simple transitivity of U-holonomy group and the fact that there is no non-trivial element in Y_X such that all its powers are small [Section 7.1 [3]] we have:

Proposition 4.2. (Section 6.1 [3]) *The map h' is a homeomorphism and hence provides a topological conjugacy between α_G and $\alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}$.*

Now by letting $h := h'\widetilde{h}^{-1}$ we have

$$h \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_G h^{-1} = \alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}$$

thus there is a topological conjugacy between $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ and $\alpha_{0,\widetilde{G}}$. The smoothness of this homeomorphism follows as in [15], [3] or [18], by the general Katok-Spatzier theory of non-stationary normal forms for partially hyperbolic abelian actions.

4.1. **Proof of Corollary 2.1.**

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 apply to this case with minor changes when proving T-cycles are projected to U-cycles when $SO^+(m, n)$ is not split. Similar to proof of Lemma 6.5[3], we need to show that a T-cycle at x projected to a U-path starting at x gives a U-cycle which is either contractible or its fourth power, after adding a U-path of bounded length which connects the 2 endpoints and closed up the U-path. It is due to Theorem 3 and the fact that $h^j_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a, \sqrt{2}b)(a, b) \in S^1$, $j \leq m - n - 1$ generate M.

5. Schur multipliers of non-split groups

5.1. **Preliminaries and notations from K-theory.** In this part, we follow nations and quote conclusions without proof fairly close to [6]. Let k be any arbitrary field. Let Ω denote its algebraic closure in a "universal domain." Let $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \Omega)$ be a connected simply connected algebraic group which is of k-rank ≥ 2. We also assume that G is absolutely simple over Ω. Let $G_k = G \bigcap GL(n, k)$ be the group of k rational points of G. For a subgroup H of G, let H_k denote set $H \bigcap G_k$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G, $S \subset G$ be the k-split torus. Let Φ be the k-root system of G with respect to S, and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ the corresponding root space. Let Φ^+ be the set of positive roots and Δ the system of simple roots with respect to Φ^+. Define

$$\Phi_1 = \{\alpha \in \Phi | \alpha/2 \notin \Phi\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi_2 = \{\alpha \in \Phi | 2\alpha \notin \Phi\}.$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $u^\alpha = \sum_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\alpha}$, and U^α the corresponding algebraic subgroup of G. Let U^+ be the algebraic subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. U^- is defined as the subgroup corresponding to $\sum_{-\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For $\alpha \in \Phi_1$, let G^α be the connected algebraic subgroup generated by U^α and $U^{-\alpha}$. Let $Z(S)$ be the centralizer of S in G and $N(S)$ the normalizer of S in G and $W_0 = N(S)/Z(S)$ be the Weyl group. Let $W \subset N(S)_k$ be a complete representatives. We also assume that w_α is so chosen that for any $\alpha \in \Phi$, $w_\alpha \in N(S) \bigcap G_k^\alpha$ and has order 2. Next, let G_k^+ be the group generated by k-rational unipotent elements which belong to the radical of a parabolic subgroup defined over k in G. It is known that for a wide class of G, $G_k^+ = G_k$. Moreover, the only proper normal subgroups of G_k^+ are central (and finite). Also, $G_k^+ = [G_k^+, G_k^+]$. We start with a technical lemma whose role will be clear from the subsequent development.

Lemma 5.1. *(***The Chain Lemma***) For $\alpha \in \Phi_1$, let $(e \neq) x \in U_k^\alpha$ be any element. Then there exists elements $x_i \in U_k^\alpha$, $y_i \in U_k^{-\alpha} (i \in \mathbb{Z})$ such that:*

1 . $x_0 = x$.
2 . *$x_i y_i x_{i+1} = y_j x_{j+1} y_{j+1}$ $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$; we denote this element by w.*
3 . *The element w belongs to $N(S)_k$ and "acts" on S as the reflection with respect to α, i.e., $ww_\alpha^{-1} \in Z(S)_k (w_\alpha \in W)$.*
4 . *Given any x_i or y_i the remaining elements of the chain $\{x_n, y_m\}$ are uniquely determined.*

Definition 5.1. We define $w_\alpha(x)$, for $(e \neq) x \in U_k^\alpha$ to be the element w in the chain lemma. Thus, $w_\alpha(x) \in N(S)_k$ and "acts as the reflection with respect to α". Further, we have $w_\alpha(x) = w_\alpha(x_i) = w_{-\alpha}(y_j)$

and $w_\alpha(x^{-1}) = w_\alpha(x)^{-1}$ $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi_1$, x, x_1(both$\neq e$)$\in U_k^\alpha$, consider the element $h_\alpha(x, x_1) = w_\alpha(x)w_\alpha(x_1)^{-1}$. It is clear that $h_\alpha(x, x_1) \in Z(S)_k \bigcap G_k^+$. Let H_k be the subgroup generated by these elements.

Remark 5.1. If char$k = 0$, then we have the exponential map exp : $\mathfrak{g} \to G$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be a k-rational(nilpotent) element. Then $x = \exp X \in U_k^\alpha$. The chain associated with x can also be obtained in the following way: We have the Jacobson-Morosov theorem which asserts the existence of an element $Y \in (\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})_k$ such that $\{X, Y, [X, Y]\}$ span a three-dimension split Lie algebra over k. It is then easy to prove that $x_i = x = \exp X, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y_j = \exp(-Y), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we let $y =$exp$(-Y)$, then we denote xyx by $w_\alpha(x)$.

5.2. **Construction of universal central extension.** Let N be an abstract group. A central extension of N is a pair (π, N') where N' is a group, π is a homomorphism of N' onto N and $\ker \pi \subseteq$(center of N'). A central extension (π, N') of N is said to be universal if for any central extension (η, E') of N, there exists a unique homomorphism $\phi : N' \to E'$ such that $\eta \circ \phi = \pi$. A necessary and sufficient condition for N to have a universal central extension is that $N = [N, N]$. (For the proof of this and other elementary properties of a universal central extension, one may refer to [[24], Section 7].)

We now construct the universal central extension (u.c.e.) of the group G_k^+. (Such an extension exists since $G_k^+ = [G_k^+, G_k^+]$.) For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_1$ such that $\alpha \neq -\beta$, it is known that

$$[U^\alpha, U^\beta] \subset \prod_{\gamma = i\alpha + j\beta, i,j \geq 1} U^\gamma.$$

This clearly gives rise to relations $R_{\alpha,\beta}$ between commutators of above form and elements which belongs to

$$\prod_{\gamma = i\alpha + j\beta, i,j \geq 1} U^\gamma.$$

Let $\widetilde{G}' = U_k^+ * U_k^-$, the free product of groups. Now for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi, \alpha \neq -\beta$, $R_{\alpha,\beta}$ has a natural meaning in $\widetilde{G}'$. We now quotient $\widetilde{G}'$ by the relations $\{R_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ to get a group we denote by $\widetilde{G}$. It is clear that there exists a well-defined homomorphism $\pi_1 : \widetilde{G} \to G_k^+$. Further, it is clear that π_1 is surjective. We write for $u \in U_k^\alpha$, the corresponding element in $\widetilde{G}$ by $\tilde{x}_\alpha(u)$. Then $\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)$, $\tilde{h}_\alpha(u, u_1)$ for $u, u_1 \in U_k^\alpha$ are obviously defined elements of $\widetilde{G}$.

Lemma 5.2. *$(\widetilde{G}, \pi_1)$ is a* (u.c.e.) *of* G_k^+

Now we list the following lemma which is very important for the sequel.

Lemma 5.3. *If* $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_1, (e \neq) u \in U^{\alpha}_{\mathbb{R}}, (e \neq) v, v_1 \in U^{\beta}_{\mathbb{R}}$, *then*

1 $\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)\tilde{x}_\beta(v)\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)^{-1} = \tilde{x}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}\big(w_\alpha(u) v w_\alpha(u)^{-1}\big)$

2 $\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)\tilde{w}_\beta(v)\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}\big(w_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v) w_\alpha(u)^{-1}\big)$

3 $\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)\tilde{h}_\beta(v, v_1)\tilde{w}_\alpha(u)^{-1}$
$= \tilde{h}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}\big(w_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v) w_\alpha(u)^{-1}, w_\alpha(u) x_\beta(v_1) w_\alpha(u)^{-1}\big)$

4 $\tilde{h}_\beta(v, v_1)\tilde{x}_\alpha(u)\tilde{h}_\beta(v, v_1)^{-1}$
$= \tilde{x}_\alpha\big(h_\beta(v, v_1) x_\alpha(u) h_\beta(v, v_1)^{-1}\big)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $\widetilde{N}$ *be the subgroup of* G *generated by* $\{\tilde{w}_\alpha(u), \alpha \in \Phi_1, (e \neq) u \in U^{\alpha}_{k}\}$. *For* $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, *denote by* $\tilde{H}_\alpha$ *the subgroup generated by* $\tilde{h}_\alpha(v, v_1)$, $(e \neq) v, v_1 \in U^{\alpha}_{k}$. *let* $\tilde{H}$ *be he subgroup generated by* $\{\tilde{H}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi_1\}$. *Then*

1 $\tilde{H}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi_1$, *is normal in* $\widetilde{H}$, *and* $\widetilde{H}$ *is normal in* $\widetilde{N}$.

2 $\widetilde{H}$ *normalizes each* $\tilde{U}^{\alpha}_{k}$, *and hence* $\tilde{U}^{+}_{k}$.

3 $\tilde{H} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tilde{H}_\alpha$

4 $\ker(\pi_1) \subset \tilde{H}$.

Remark 5.2. We now consider the condition under which $\tilde{h} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tilde{h}_\alpha$ is in the kernel of π_1. Using the simple connectedness of G over Ω^-, it is easy to see that $\tilde{h} \in \ker \pi_1$ iff $\tilde{h}_\alpha \in \ker \pi_1 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. In other words, the Schur multiplier π_1 of G_k is generated by $\{\pi_1 \bigcap \tilde{H}_\alpha, \alpha \text{ simple}\}$. If G is not simply connected, we only have $\ker(\pi_1) \subset \tilde{H}$.

6. Generating relations of $SO^+(m, n)$

6.1. Basic settings for $SO^+(m, n)$.

In this part we study the generators of $SO^+(m, n)(m \geq n \geq 3)$. We use notations as in Section 3.3 and Section 5. Explicitly, this is the case where $G = SO(m + n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by a non-degenerate standard bilinear form of signature (m, n).

We denote by S the set of $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ diagonal matrices in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ with lower-right $(m - n) \times (m - n)$ block identity. Let Φ be the root system with respect to S. The roots are $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j \leq n)$, whose dimensions are one and $\pm L_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ are also roots if $m \geq n + 1$ with dimensions $m - n$. We easily see $\Phi = \Phi_1$. If $m \geq n + 1$, the set of positive roots Φ^+ and the corresponding set of simple roots Δ are

$$\Phi^+ = \{L_i - L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i + L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i\}_i,$$
$$\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}\}_i \cup \{L_n\};$$

if $m = n$, the set of positive roots Φ^+ and the corresponding set of simple roots Δ are

$$\Phi^+ = \{L_i - L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i + L_j\}_{i<j},$$
$$\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}\}_i \cup \{L_{n-1} + L_n\}.$$

With notations in Section 3.3 we have

$U^r_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\exp(tf_r) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j)$,

$U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\prod_j \exp\left(a_j f^j_\alpha\right) \mid a = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\}$ for $\alpha = \pm L_i$.

Correspondingly, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ we write

$$x_r(t) = \exp(tf_r) \in U^r_{\mathbb{R}} \qquad \text{for } r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j),$$
$$x_\alpha(a) = \prod_j \exp(a_j f^j_\alpha) \in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = \pm L_i.$$

6.2. **"Chains" in $SO^+(m, n)$.** Our next step is to determine explicitly the "chain" (cf. Lemma 5.1) corresponding to the element $x_\alpha(a)(\neq e) \in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha = \pm L_i)$. For this, define $f : \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0 \to \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0$ by $f(a) = \left(\frac{2a_1}{\sum a_i^2}, \dots, \frac{2a_{m-n}}{\sum a_i^2}\right)$ for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0$. With this notation, we have:

Lemma 6.1. *For $x_\alpha(a)(\neq e) \in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha = \pm L_i)$, the "chain" corresponding to it is given by*

$$x_i = x_\alpha(a), i \in \mathbb{Z}; \qquad y_i = x_{-\alpha}(f(a)), i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denoting the element $w_\alpha(x_\alpha(a))$ by $w_\alpha(a)$, we have

$$w_\alpha(a) = x_\alpha(a) x_{-\alpha}\left(f(a)\right) x_\alpha(a).$$

Proof. It is easy to check

$$\{\sum_j a_j f^j_\alpha, \sum_j \left(-\frac{2a_j}{\sum a_j^2} f^j_{-\alpha}\right), [\sum_j a_j f^j_\alpha, \sum_j \left(-\frac{2a_j}{\sum a_j^2} f^j_{-\alpha}\right)]\}$$

span a three-dimensional Lie algebra isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. By Remark 5.1 we get the conclusion. □

Remark 6.1. Similar computations can be made for the other roots, such as $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j)$. We record the results here:

$$w_r(t) = x_r(t) x_{-r}(-t^{-1}) x_r(t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

where

$$x_i = x_r(t) \forall i, \qquad y_i = x_{-r}(-t^{-1}) \forall i.$$

Correspondingly, we define

$$\begin{aligned} h_r(t) &= w_r(t)w_r(1)^{-1}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^*, r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j), \\ h_\alpha(a,b) &= w_\alpha(a)w_\alpha(b)^{-1}, \qquad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0, \alpha = \pm L_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write $p(\pi)$ the permutation matrix corresponding to the permutation π, that is, the i, j entry of $p(\pi)$ is 1 if $i = \pi(j)$ and zeros otherwise. Let $A, B, C, \cdots$ be square matrices(not necessary the same size), we use $\mathrm{diag}(A_{j_1}, B_{j_2}, C_{j_3}, \cdots)$ to denote the $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrix that's constructed in the following way. First, the matrix A is placed as a block in $\mathrm{diag}(A_{j_1}, B_{j_2}, C_{j_3}, \cdots)$ with its upper left corner positioned at the (j_1, j_1) entry. Matrices $B, C, \cdots$ are placed similarly. Then we fill the rest of diagonal blocks of $\mathrm{diag}(A_{j_1}, B_{j_2}, C_{j_3}, \cdots)$ with identity matrices of suitable sizes and the off-diagonal blocks with zero matrices. For example, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = (3)$. Then $\mathrm{diag}\{A_2, B_5\}$ is the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With these notations we have:

$$w_{L_i - L_j}(t) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\left((-t^{-1})_i, t_j, (-t)_{i+n}, (t^{-1})_{j+n}\right), \qquad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

where π only permutes (i, j) and $(i+n, j+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

$$w_{L_i + L_j}(t) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\left((-t^{-1})_i, (t^{-1})_j, (-t)_{i+n}, t_{j+n}\right), \qquad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

where π only permutes $(i, j+n)$ and $(j, i+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

$$w_{L_i}(a) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-2|a|^{-2})_i, (-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2)_{i+n}, B_{2n+1}\big), \qquad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0,$$

where $B \in O(m-n)$ and π only permutes $(i, i+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

6.3. **Basic relations.** We can now define elements $\tilde{x}_r(t)$, $\tilde{x}_\alpha(a)$, $\tilde{w}_r(t)$, $\tilde{w}_\alpha(a)$, $\tilde{h}_r(t)$, $\tilde{h}_\alpha(a,b)$ etc. as was done in Section 5.2. We denote by $\widetilde{W}_r$ the subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ generated by $\tilde{w}_r(t)$, $\widetilde{W}_\alpha$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{w}_\alpha(a)$, $\widetilde{H}_r$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}_r(t)$, $\widetilde{H}_\alpha$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}_\alpha(a,b)$. Also, from Lemma 5.3, it is clear that certain relations hold both in $\widetilde{G}$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. We record these results in two separate lemmas(Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3), since they will serve as ready references later.

Lemma 6.2. *If $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}\backslash 0$, the following hold $\widetilde{G}$(and hence in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ too).*

1 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 t\right)$,

2 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\left(-2|a|^{-2}t\right)$,

3 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}}(at)$,

4 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}\left(-at^{-1}\right)$.

Hence,

5 $\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(at^{-1})$,

6 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)^{-1}$
$= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 t\right)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2\right)^{-1}$.

We denote by S^i the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{i+1}$, denote by $\tilde{W}_s$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{w}_{L_n}\left(\sqrt{2}a\right)$, $a \in S^{m-n-1}$. If $a = (a_1, ..., a_n)$, then

$$\pi_1(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-1)_n, (-1)_{2n}, B_{2n+1}\big),$$

where π permutes n and 2n while fixes other numbers and $B \in O(m-n)$ with entries $B_{i,j} = -2a_i a_j, i \neq j$ and $B_{i,i} = 1 - 2a_i^2$. Then B is a reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to a. Thus for any $w \in \tilde{W}_s$,

$$\pi_1(w) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-1)_n^\delta, (-1)_{2n}^\delta, B_{2n+1}\big),$$

where $\delta = 2$ if $p(\pi) = I_{m+n}$ and $B \in SO(m-n)$; $\delta = 1$ if $p(\pi)$ permutes n and $2n$ and and $B \in O(m-n)$. Without confusion, we identify $\pi_1(w_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a))$ with B. The following holds:

Lemma 6.3. *If $w \in \tilde{W}_s$, $\pi_1(w) = p(\pi)\,\mathrm{diag}\big((-1)_n^\delta, (-1)_{2n}^\delta, B_{2n+1}\big)$, $\delta = 1$ or 2, $B \in O(m-n)$, $a \in S^{m-n-1}$, we have*

$$w\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}a\big)w^{-1} = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}B\cdot a\big), & \text{if } p(\pi) = I_{m+n}, \\ \tilde{w}_{L_{-n}}\big(-\sqrt{2}B\cdot a\big), & \text{if } p(\pi) \neq I_{m+n}, \end{cases}$$

where $\cdot$ means linear operation on vectors. Using above formula and Definition 5.1 one further has

$$w\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}a\big)w^{-1} = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}B\cdot a\big), & \text{if } p(\pi) = I_{m+n}, \\ \tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(-\sqrt{2}B\cdot a\big). & \text{if } p(\pi) \neq I_{m+n} \end{cases}$$

6.4. **Structure of $\tilde{H}$.** We recall the notation set in Section 6.3. We prove the following:

Lemma 6.4. *If $m=n$, $\tilde{H}$ is generated by $\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r$, where $\Delta=\{L_i-L_{i+1},L_{n-1}+L_n\}$; if $m\geq n+1$, $\tilde{H}$ is generated by $\big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_s$, where $\Delta=\{L_i-L_{i+1},L_{n-1}+L_n\}$ and $\tilde{H}_s$ is generated by $\tilde{h}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)$, $a,b\in S^{m-n-1}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, the case for $m=n$ is obvious. If $m\geq n+1$, $\tilde{H}$ is generated by $\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r$, where $\Delta=\{L_i-L_{i+1},L_n\}$.

For any $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^{m-n}\backslash 0$, denote $\sum a_i^2=a_0$, $\sum b_i^2=b_0$. Using Lemma 6.2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_{L_n}(a,b)&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-b)\\
&=\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a_0}}\big)\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\sqrt{a_0}}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a_0}}\big)^{-1}\\
&\cdot\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(-\frac{\sqrt{2}b}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)^{-1}\\
&=\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a_0}}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\big(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a_0}}\big)^{-1}\\
&\cdot\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\big(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)^{-1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\sqrt{a_0}},\frac{\sqrt{2}b}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)\\
&\cdot\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b_0}}\big)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{H}_{L_n}$ is generated by $\tilde{H}_s$, $\tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}$ and $\tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}$. Hence we proved the lemma. □

If $m\geq n+2$, for any $(a,b)\in S^1$, $1\leq j\leq m-n-1$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}\big(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b\big)&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{\sqrt{2}a},\underset{j+1}{\sqrt{2}b},0,\dots,0\big)\\
&\cdot\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(0,\dots,0,\underset{j}{-\sqrt{2}},0,\dots,0\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.1. *If $m\geq n+2$, $\tilde{H}$ is generated by $\big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\big)\tilde{H}_{s_0}$, where $\Delta=\{L_i-L_{i+1},L_{n-1}+L_n\}$ and $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ is generated by $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)$, $(a,b\in S^1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4, we just need to show $\tilde{H}_s=\tilde{H}_{s_0}$. Observe that $\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)\big)$=diag$(R_{2n+j})$, where

$$R=\begin{pmatrix} a^2-b^2 & -2ab\\ 2ab & a^2-b^2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is clear that $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(a,b))(j \leq m-n-1)$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $SO(m-n)$.

Hence for any $a \in S^{m-n-1}$ we can find $(e_i, f_i) \in S^1$ such that

$$\big(\prod_i \pi_1(\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}e_i, \sqrt{2}f_i))\big)\cdot(\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0) = \sqrt{2}a.$$

Denote $\prod_i \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}e_i, \sqrt{2}f_i)$ by A. By Lemma 6.3 we have

$$A\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)A^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a).$$

Similarly, for any $b \in S^{m-n-1}$, we can find $B \in \tilde{H}_{s_0}$ such that

$$B\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)B^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}b).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}b)\\ &= A\big(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)A^{-1}B\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)\big)B^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.3, it is easy to check

$$\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)\tilde{H}_{s_0}\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2}, 0, ..., 0)^{-1} \subseteq \tilde{H}_{s_0}.$$

Thus we get the conclusion. □

Lemma 6.5.

(1) $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2} = \{\prod_i \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_i) \mid \text{ with } \prod_i t_i = 1\}$.

(2) $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_r = \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$, for $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i \neq j)$.

Proof. (1). Notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t))$ =diag$(t_1, (t^{-1})_2, (t^{-1})_{1+n}, t_{2+n})$. Thus (1) is clear.

It follows from (1) that $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ is generated by elements

$$\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_1)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_2)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_1t_2)^{-1}, \text{ where } t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

(2) We can prove similarly that $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_r (r = \pm L_i \pm L_j)$ is generated by elements $\tilde{h}_r(t_1)\tilde{h}_r(t_2)\tilde{h}_r(t_1t_2)^{-1}$. Since these simple roots belong to the same orbit under the Weyl group, an argument similar to one in [[20], Lemma 8.2] shows that $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_r \subseteq \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ for all roots $r = \pm L_i \pm L_j$. This proves (2). □

For $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$, we define:

$$\{t_1, t_2\} = \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_1)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_2)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(t_1t_2)^{-1}.$$

Now in exactly the same manner as the proof in the appendix of [20], we prove that these $\{t_1, t_2\}$'s satisfy the conditions

Lemma 6.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\{t_1,t_2\}&=\{t_2,t_1\}^{-1}\qquad\forall t_1,t_2\in\mathbb{R}^*,\\ \{t_1,t_2\cdot t_3\}&=\{t_1,t_2\}\cdot\{t_1,t_3\}\qquad\forall t_1,t_2,t_3\in\mathbb{R}^*,\\ \{t_1\cdot t_2,t_3\}&=\{t_1,t_3\}\cdot\{t_2,t_3\}\qquad\forall t_1,t_2,t_3\in\mathbb{R}^*,\\ \{t,1-t\}&=1\qquad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}^*,t\neq 1,\\ \{t,-t\}&=1\qquad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we define a symbol on $\mathbb{R}$.

6.5. **Construction of a S^1-symbol.** We construct a new symbol on S^1 to get prepared for further study of $\ker\pi_1\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}$. Up to Section 6.8, we will doing calculation inside $\tilde{W}_s$(defined after Lemma 6.2). For the sake of simplicity, henceforth we denote $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a)(a\in S^{m-n-1})$ by $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)$, $\tilde{h}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)(a,b\in S^{m-n-1})$ by $\tilde{h}_{L_n}(a,b)$ and $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(\sqrt{2}b,\sqrt{2}c)((b,c)\in S^1)$ by $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(b,c)$ until the end of Section 6.8.

Lemma 6.7. *For $\forall(a,b),(c,d)\in S^1$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}&[\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b),\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)]\\ &=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a^2-b^2,2ab)\cdot(c,d)\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a^2-b^2,2ab)^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)^{-1}\\ &=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c^2-d^2,2cd)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c^2-d^2,2cd)\big)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where the $\cdot$ is multiplication among complex numbers.

Proof. Using Lemma 6.3, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,c_i,d_{i+1},0\dots,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\\ &=\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(0,\dots,(ca^2-cb^2-2dba)_i,(da^2-db^2+2cba)_{i+1},\dots,0\big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\\ &=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a^2-b^2,2ab)\cdot(c,d)\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a^2-b^2,2ab)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\\ &=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c^2-d^2,2cd)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c^2-d^2,2cd)\big)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the lemma. □

Lemma 6.8. *For $\forall a\in S^{m-n-1}$,*

$$\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-a)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)$$

and

$$\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0) = e.$$

Proof. Notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)) = I_{m+n}$. By Lemma 6.3, for any $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-n}) \in S^{m-n-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0) = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)^{-1} \\
&= \tilde{w}_{L_n}\left(1-2a_1^2, -2a_1a_2, -2a_1a_3, \dots, -2a_1a_{m-n}\right) \\
&\cdot \tilde{w}_{L_n}\left(1-2a_1^2, -2a_1a_2, -2a_1a_3, \dots, -2a_1a_{m-n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f : S^{m-n-1} \to S^{m-n-1}$ be

$$f(a) = \left(1-2a_1^2, -2a_1a_2, -2a_1a_3, \dots, -2a_1a_{m-n}\right)$$

for $\forall a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-n}) \in S^{m-n-1}$.

It is easy to check f is surjective. Hence we proved the first par of the lemma. For the second part, notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)) = I_{m+n}$ and use Lemma 6.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0) =& \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)^{-1} \\
=& \tilde{w}_{L_n}(1,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(1,\dots,0) \\
=& \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we proved the lemma. □

Let H^i be the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)((a,b) \in S^1)$. We prove the following:

Lemma 6.9.

$$(1)\ \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1 = \{\prod_j \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a_j,b_j) \mid \text{ with } \prod_j (a_j^2 - b_j^2 + 2a_jb_j\mathrm{i}) = 1\},$$

$$(2)\ \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^j = \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1, \qquad \text{for } j \le m-n-1.$$

Proof. (1) Notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a,b))$=diag$(R_{2n+1})$, where

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a^2-b^2 & -2ab \\ 2ab & a^2-b^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify the above matrix with a complex number $a_j^2 - b_j^2 + 2a_jb_j\mathrm{i}$, then (1) is clear.

It follows from (1) that $\ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1$ is generated by elements

$$\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}\left((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\right)^{-1} \text{ and } \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0).$$

where $(a,b), (c,d) \in S^1$ and $\cdot$ means multiplication among complex numbers.

(2) We can prove similarly that $\ker(\pi_1)\cap H^i$ is generated by elements

$$\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)^{-1} \text{ and } \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-1,0).$$

Let i, j be distinct, let

$$w = \tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(0, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \dots, (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_{i+1}, \dots, 0\big)\cdot\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \dots, (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_{i}, \dots, 0\big).$$

By Lemma 6.3 we have

$$w\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)w^{-1} = \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a,b).$$

Since $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-1,0)\in Z(\widetilde{G})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)^{-1}\\ &= w\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)^{-1}w^{-1}\\ &= \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(c,d)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-1,0) = w\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-1,0)w^{-1} = \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0).$$

Thus we have proved (2). □

For $(a,b),(c,d)\in S^1$, we define:

$$\{(a,b),(c,d)\} = \tilde{h}^1_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(c,d)^{-1},$$

By Lemma 6.7, in exactly the same manner as the proof in the appendix of [20], these $\{(a,b),(c,d)\}$'s satisfy the conditions

Lemma 6.10. *For all $(a,b),(c,d),(a_1,b_1),(c_1,d_1)\in S^1$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\{(a,b),(c,d)\} &= \{(c,d),(a,b)\}^{-1},\\ \{(a,b),(c,d)\cdot(c_1,d_1)\} &= \{(a,b),(c,d)\}\cdot\{(a,b),(c_1,d_1)\},\\ \{(a,b)\cdot(a_1,b_1),(c,d)\} &= \{(a,b),(c,d)\}\cdot\{(a_1,b_1),(c,d)\},\\ \{(c,d),(-c,-d)\} &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we define a symbol on S^1.

6.6. Structure of $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}$.

We recall the notation set in Corollary 6.1. $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ is the subgroup generated by all $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(a,b)((a,b)\in S^1)$. We focus on studying $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}$. The crucial step in proving the main Theorem 4 is:

Theorem 7. $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0} = \ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$.

The ensuing discussion (up to Lemma 6.2) proves this theorem. Henceforth we consider the quotient group $\tilde{W}_s/(\ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1)$ until the end of Section 6.8.(Note that $\ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$ being central, this is well defined.) We continue to write $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(a,b)$ for $(a,b)\in S^1$ and $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)$ for $a\in S^{m-n-1}$ for their images in $\tilde{W}_s/(\ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1)$. However, there is no confusion in doing so.

As a first step towards the proof of Theorem 7, we prove:

Proposition 6.1.

$$H^i\cdot H^{i+1}\cdot H^i = H^{i+1}\cdot H^i\cdot H^{i+1}.$$

Let $(a,b,c)\in S^2$, define

$$\tilde{w}^i_{L_n}(a,b,c)=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\ldots,a_i,b_{i+1},c_{i+2},0,\ldots,0).$$

Lemma 6.11–6.12 are preparations for proof of Proposition 6.1.

Lemma 6.11. *For* $\forall\ (a,b,c)\in S^2$, $\forall x\in\mathbb{R}$, $\forall j$, *there exist* $(d,g,f)\in S^2$, $(d_1,g_1,f_1)\in S^2$, $y\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $y_1\in\mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$(1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x,0)=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d,g,f)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos y,\sin y),$$
$$(2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos x,\sin x)=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d_1,g_1,f_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y_1,\sin y_1,0).$$

Proof. (1) If (a,b,c) and $(\cos x,\sin x,0)$ are collinear, then $(a,b,c)=\pm(\cos x,\sin x,0)$. We just let $-(d,g,f)=(0,\cos y,\sin y)=(0,-1,0)$. By Lemma 6.8, we get the conclusion.

Suppose (a,b,c) and $(\cos x,\sin x,0)$ are not collinear. Then we choose $y\in\mathbb{R}$ and $(d,g,f)\in S^2$ such that $(0,\cos y,\sin y)$ and (d,g,f) are both on the plane generated by (a,b,c) and $(\cos x,\sin x,0)$ and satisfying

$$\angle\big((a,b,c),(\cos x,\sin x,0)\big)=\angle\big((d,g,f),(0,\cos y,\sin y)\big),$$

where $\angle$ means the angle between 2 vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Choose an h in the subgroup generated by H^j and H^{j+1} such that $\pi_1(h)$ maps the 4 vectors to xy-plane(with last coordinate 0 in $\mathbb{R}^3$). Denote

$$\pi_1(h)\cdot(a,b,c)=(a_1,b_1,0),\qquad \pi_1(h)\cdot(\cos x,\sin x,0)=(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0),$$
$$\pi_1(h)\cdot(d,g,f)=(d_1,g_1,0),\qquad \pi_1(h)\cdot(0,\cos y,\sin y)=(\cos y_1,\sin y_1,0).$$

We have

$$\angle\left((a_1,b_1,0),(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0)\right)=\angle\left((d_1,g_1,0),(\cos y_1,\sin y_1,0)\right),$$

since $\pi_1(h)\in SO(3)$.

From the above equation and the fact that $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a_1,b_1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0)\big)$ is a rotation in xy-plane with angle 2 times the one between $(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0)$

and $(a_1, b_1, 0)$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\left(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a_1,b_1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0)\right)\\ &=\pi_1\left(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d_1,g_1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y_1,\sin y_1,0)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}&h\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x,0)h^{-1}\\ &=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a_1,b_1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1,0)\\ &=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d_1,g_1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y_1,\sin y_1,0) \qquad (\text{ by Lemma 6.9})\\ &=h\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d,g,f)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos y,\sin y)h^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved

$$\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x,0)=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(d,g,f)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos y,\sin y).$$

(2) A similar argument holds for (2).

We have thus proved the lemma completely. □

Lemma 6.12. *For* $\forall\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\in\mathbb{R}$, *there exist* $\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\ \beta_4,\beta_5,\beta_6\in\mathbb{R}$, *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\ &\quad=\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\beta_1,\sin\beta_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_2,\sin\beta_2)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\beta_3,\sin\beta_3);\\ &(2)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\ &\quad=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_4,\sin\beta_4)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\beta_5,\sin\beta_5)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_6,\sin\beta_6).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Using Lemma 6.3, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\ &=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\ &=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)^{-1}\\ &\cdot\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\ &=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\left(-\sin 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\cos 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2\right)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\\ &\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}\left(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\right)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0,0).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0,0)\in H^j,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\left(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0,0)\right)\\ &=\pi_1\left(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}\left(-\sin(\theta_1-\theta_3),\cos(\theta_1-\theta_3)\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0,0)\\&=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(-\sin(\theta_1-\theta_3),\cos(\theta_1-\theta_3)).\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\cos 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\\&\cdot\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(-\sin(\theta_1-\theta_3),\cos(\theta_1-\theta_3))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0,0)\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\cos 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin(\theta_1-\theta_3),\cos(\theta_1-\theta_3),0).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.11, there exist $(a,b,c)\in S^2$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\cos 2\theta_1\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin(\theta_1-\theta_3),\cos(\theta_1-\theta_3),0)\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos\alpha,\sin\alpha).\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

Using a similar argument , for $\forall\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3\in\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\gamma_1,\sin\gamma_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\gamma_2,\sin\gamma_2)\tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(\cos\gamma_3,\sin\gamma_3)\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-\sin\gamma_2,\cos 2\gamma_1\cos\gamma_2,\sin 2\gamma_1\cos\gamma_2)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(0,\cos(\gamma_1-\gamma_3),\sin(\gamma_1-\gamma_3)).\end{aligned}\tag{6.2}$$

It is easy to see that there exist $\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(-\sin\beta_2,\cos 2\beta_1\cos\beta_2,\sin 2\beta_1\cos\beta_2)=(a,b,c)$$

and

$$\beta_1-\beta_3=\alpha.$$

Let $\gamma_1=\beta_1$, $\gamma_2=\beta_2$ and $\gamma_3=\beta_3$, combine (6.1) and (6.2), we thus proved (1).

A similar argument holds for (2). We have thus proved the lemma completely. $\square$

6.7. **Proof of Proposition 6.1.** By Lemma 6.9, every element of H^i can be expressed as $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)$ where $(a,b)\in S^1$. Thus by Lemma 6.12, it follows

$$H^i\cdot H^{i+1}\cdot H^i\subseteq H^{i+1}\cdot H^i\cdot H^{i+1}$$

and

$$H^{i+1}\cdot H^i\cdot H^{i+1}\subseteq H^i\cdot H^{i+1}\cdot H^i,$$

which completes the proof.

We denote $H^1 \cdot H^2, \dots, \cdot H^j$ by $\prod_{j=1}^{i} H^j$. With this notation we have:

Proposition 6.2.

$$\tilde{H}_{s_0} = (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1} H^i) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-2} H^i), \dots, \cdot (H^1).$$

Proof. We write $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}$ for the set of all $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a, b)$, $(a, b) \in S^1$. It is sufficient to prove:

$$\tilde{H}_{s_0} = (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-2} \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}), \dots, \cdot (\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}).$$

We use induction on $m - n = 2 + k$. For $k = 0$ we are though by Lemma 6.9.

If $k = 1$, any element in $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ can be written as $\tilde{h}^1_{L_n} \tilde{h}^2_{L_n}, \dots, \tilde{h}^1_{L_n} \tilde{h}^2_{L_n}$. Keep using Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.9, then we are through.

Now assume the lemma is correct for $m - n = 2 + k$. We will show it is correct for $m - n = 2 + k + 1$. Denote Y_k the subgroup generated by H^j where $j \leq k + 1$. Then $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ is generated by $\{Y_k, \tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\}$. By induction, any $y_k \in Y_k$ can be written as

$$y_k = (\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}) \cdot, \dots, \cdot (\prod_{i=1} \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}).$$

Next we will see what changes are brought to this express after adding $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n} (i \leq 2 + k)$ from both sides of y_k. Obviously, adding $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n} (i \leq 1 + k)$ from either side makes no changes. One considers adding $\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}$ from both sides. Notice if $2 \leq |i - j|$,

$$\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a, b) \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(c, d) \tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a, b)^{-1} = \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(c, d), \tag{6.3}$$

thus for any y_k, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n} y_k \tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\\
&= \tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big) \qquad (\text{ by } (6.3))\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big) \qquad (\text{ by Proposition } 6.1)\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}),\ldots,(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n})\in Y_k$, by induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n} y_k \tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}\\
&\quad= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the changes after adding $\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}(i \leq 2+k)$ from left side of the above expression. It is clear adding $\tilde{h}^{1}_{L_n}$ makes no changes. Neither for $\tilde{h}^{k+2}_{L_n}$, since a same argument holds as in previous proof. For $\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}(1 < j < k+2)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{j-2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{j-1}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=j+1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)(\text{ by } (6.3))\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{j-2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{j-1}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\tilde{h}^{j-1}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=j+1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{j}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)(\text{ by Proposition } 6.1)\\
&= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\tilde{h}^{j-1}_{L_n}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big),\ldots,\Big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^{i}_{L_n}\Big). \qquad (\text{ by } (6.3))
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{j-1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\in Y_k$, by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{j}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we are through left side case. Now consider adding elements from right side. In this case, we are left with adding $\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\qquad(\text{ by (6.3)})\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big).\qquad(\text{ by Proposition 6.1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\in Y_k$, by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\\
&=\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big).\qquad(\text{ by (6.3)})
\end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{k+1}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big),\dots,\big(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}_{L_n}^{i}\big)\in Y_k,$$

by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n})(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}),\dots,(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n})\tilde{h}^{k+1}_{L_n}(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}),\dots,(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}),\\ =&(\prod_{i=1}^{k+2}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n})(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}),\dots,(\prod_{i=1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved adding elements from right leaves the expression unchanged. Then we finally proved this proposition. □

6.8. **Proof of Theorem 7.** By Proposition 6.2, $\forall h \in \tilde{H}_{s_0}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}h = &(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a_i^{m-n-1}, b_i^{m-n-1}))(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-2}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a_i^{m-n-2}, b_i^{m-n-2}))\\ &,\dots,\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}\left(a_1^1, b_1^1\right)h_0\end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i^j, b_i^j) \in S^1$ for $i, j \le m-n-1$ and $h_0 \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$. Notice the element in the lower right corner of matrix $\pi_1(h)$ is $\left(a_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1}\right)^2 - \left(b_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1}\right)^2$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $a_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1} = \pm 1$, $b_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1} = 0$. Thus it follows $\tilde{h}^{m-n-1}_{L_n}(a_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1}, b_{m-n-1}^{m-n-1}) \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$. By induction, we have $h \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$. We thus proved $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0} \subseteq \ker(\pi_1)\cap H^1$. The other side inclusion is obvious. Hence we finished the proof completely.

6.9. **Proof of Theorem 4.** We first consider $m = n$. By the fact coming from Lemma 6.4 that $\ker(\pi_1) \subseteq \prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r$, where $\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}, L_{n-1}+L_n\}$, we only need to consider the elements in $\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r$. By Lemma 6.5, $\forall h \in \prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r$ can be written as

$$h = \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(a_1)\tilde{h}_{L_2-L_3}(a_2),\dots,\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(a_{n-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(a_n)h_0$$

where $h_0 \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-2} = 1$ and $a_{n-1} = a_n = \pm 1$. Thus we have

$$h = h_0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad h = \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1).$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)\\
&= h^{\sim}_{L_1-L_n}(-1)\big(\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)\big)h^{\sim}_{L_1-L_n}(-1)^{-1}\\
&= \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(1)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(1)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(1)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(1)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(1)\\
&= \big(\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)\big)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\big(\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1)\big)^2 = e$. Thus we proved the case for $m = n$.

If $m = n+1$ by Lemma 6.4, $\ker(\pi_1) \subseteq \big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_s$, where $\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}, L_{n-1}+L_n\}$. Further, by Lemma 6.5, $\forall h \in \big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_s$ can be written as

$$h = \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(a_1)\tilde{h}_{L_2-L_3}(a_2),\dots,\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(a_{n-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(a_n)h_1h_0$$

where $h_0 \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ and $h_1 \in \tilde{H}_s$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-2} = 1$, $a_{n-1} = a_n = \pm 1$ and $\pi_1(h_1) = I_{m+n}$.

If $a_{n-1} = a_n = 1$, we get $h \in \Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_s\Big)\cdot\Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\Big)$.

If $a_{n-1} = a_n = -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-1,0)\\
&= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(\sqrt{2},0,\dots,0)\\
&\cdot\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)^{-1}\tilde{w}_{L_n}(-\sqrt{2},0,\dots,0)\\
&= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-1). \qquad (6.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we still get $h \in \Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_s\Big)\cdot\Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\Big)$.

Notice $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_s$ is the 2-cyclic group generated by $h^1_{L_n}(-1)$ by the fact $\big(h^1_{L_n}(-1)\big)^2 = e$ from lemma 6.8. Thus we proved the case for $m = n+1$.

If $m \geq n+2$, by Corollary 6.1, $\ker(\pi_1) \subseteq \Big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\Big)\tilde{H}_{s_0}$, where $\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}, L_{n-1}+L_n\}$. Further, by Lemma 6.5, $\forall h \in \Big(\prod_{r\in\Delta}\tilde{H}_r\Big)\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ can be written as

$$h = \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(a_1)\tilde{h}_{L_2-L_3}(a_2),\dots,\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(a_{n-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(a_n)h_1h_0$$

where $h_0 \in \ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ and $h_1 \in \tilde{H}_{s_0}$. If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-2} = 1$, $a_{n-1} = a_n = \pm 1$ and $\pi_1(h_1) = I_{m+n}$.

If $a_{n-1} = a_n = 1$, we get $h \in \Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}\Big)\cdot\Big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\Big)$.

If $a_{n-1} = a_n = -1$, by (6.4) we still get

$$h \in \left(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}\right) \cdot \left(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1 - L_2}\right).$$

By Theorem 7, $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0} = \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1$. Thus we have proved

$$\ker(\pi_1) = \left(\ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1\right) \cdot \left(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1 - L_2}\right).$$

Hence we proved Theorem 4 completely.

7. Generating relations of $SU(m,n)$

7.1. **Basic settings for $SU(m,n)$.** In this part, we study the generators of $SU(m,n)$ where $m \geq n \geq 3$. we use $\overline{a}$ to denote complex conjugate of complex numbers, vectors or matrices. We use notations as in Section 3.3 and Section 5. Explicitly, this is the case where $G = SU(m,n)(\mathbb{C}, H)$ with H a non-degenerate standard hermitian form of signature (m,n).

In the sequel we freely use the notation of previous part without confusion. We denote by set S the the $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ real diagonal matrices in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ with lower-right $(m-n) \times (m-n)$ block identity. Let Φ be the root system of G with respect to S. The roots are $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i < j \leq n)$, whose dimensions are 2 and $\pm 2L_i (i \leq n)$ whose dimension is 1. Also the $\pm L_i (i \leq n)$ are roots if $m \neq n$ with dimensions $2(m-n)$. If $m - n \geq 1$, the set of positive roots Φ^+ and the corresponding set of simple roots Δ are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^+ = \{L_i - L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i + L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i\}_i \cup \{2L_i\}_i, \\
&\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_n\};
\end{aligned}$$

if $m = n$, the set of positive roots Φ^+ and the corresponding set of simple roots Δ are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^+ = \{L_i - L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{L_i + L_j\}_{i<j} \cup \{2L_i\}_i, \\
&\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}\}_i \cup \{L_{n-1} - L_n\}_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, if $m - n \geq 1$, the set of Φ_1 are $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i \neq j), \pm L_i$; if $m = n$, the set of Φ_1 are $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i \neq j)$.

We use $e_{k,\ell}$ to denote matrix with (k,ℓ) element 1, otherwise 0. We denote

$$\begin{aligned}
f^1_{L_i+L_j} &= (e_{i,j+n} - e_{j,i+n})_{i<j}, & f^2_{L_i+L_j} &= \mathrm{i}(e_{i,j+n} + e_{j,i+n})_{i<j},\\
f^1_{L_i-L_j} &= (e_{i,j} - e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j}, & f^2_{L_i-L_j} &= \mathrm{i}(e_{i,j} + e_{j+n,i+n})_{i\neq j},\\
f^1_{-L_i-L_j} &= (e_{j+n,i} - e_{i+n,j})_{i<j}, & f^2_{-L_i-L_j} &= \mathrm{i}(e_{j+n,i} + e_{i+n,j})_{i<j},\\
(f^\ell_{L_i})_1 &= (e_{i,2n+\ell} - e_{2n+\ell,i+n})_{\ell\leq m-n}, & (f^\ell_{L_i})_2 &= \mathrm{i}(e_{i,2n+\ell} + e_{2n+\ell,i+n})_{i\leq m-n},\\
(f^\ell_{-L_i})_1 &= (e_{i+n,2n+\ell} - e_{2n+\ell,i})_{\ell\leq m-n}, & (f^\ell_{-L_i})_2 &= \mathrm{i}(e_{i+n,2n+\ell} + e_{2n+\ell,i})_{i\leq m-n},\\
f_{2L_i} &= \mathrm{i}e_{i,i+n}, & f_{-2L_i} &= \mathrm{i}e_{i+n,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any complex number z, we use $r(z)$ to denote the real part and $i(z)$ to denote the imaginary part. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U^r_{\mathbb{R}} =& \{\exp\big(r(z)f^1_r\big)\exp\big(i(z)f^2_r\big) \mid z\in\mathbb{C}\} \text{ for } r=\pm L_i\pm L_j (i<j),\\
U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} =& \{\exp\big(\big(t-\sum_j r(a_j)i(a_j)\big)f_{2\alpha}\big)\exp\big(r(a_1)(f^1_\alpha)_1\big)\exp\big(i(a_1)(f^1_\alpha)_2\big)\\
&\quad ,\dots,\exp\big(r(a_{m-n})(f^{m-n}_\alpha)_1\big)\exp\big(i(a_{m-n})(f^{m-n}_\alpha)_2\big)\\
&\quad \mid a=(a_1,\dots,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}, t\in\mathbb{R}\} \qquad \text{for } \alpha=\pm L_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $a=(a_1,\dots,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}
x_r(z) =& \exp\big(r(z)f^1_r\big)\exp\big(i(z)f^2_r\big)\in U^r_{\mathbb{R}} \qquad \text{for } r=\pm L_i\pm L_j (i<j),\\
x_\alpha(t,a) =& \exp\big(\big(t-\sum_j r(a_j)i(a_j)\big)f_{2\alpha}\big)\exp\big(r(a_1)(f^1_\alpha)_1\big)\exp\big(i(a_1)(f^1_\alpha)_2\big)\\
&\quad ,\dots,\exp\big(r(a_{m-n})(f^{m-n}_\alpha)_1\big)\exp\big(i(a_{m-n})(f^{m-n}_\alpha)_2\big)\in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}\\
&\quad \text{for } \alpha=\pm L_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice if $a=0$, $x_\alpha(t,0)=\exp(tf_{2\alpha})$.

7.2. "Chain" in $SU(m,n)$ and basic relations.

Our next step is to determine explicitly the "chain" (cf. Lemma 5.1) corresponding to the element $x_\alpha(t,a)(\neq e)\in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha=\pm L_i)$ where $a=(a_1,\dots,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$.

We determine the "chain" for $x_\alpha(0,a)$ at first. For this, define $f:\mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0\to\mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0$ by $f(a)=\big(\frac{2a_1}{\sum|a_i|^2},\dots,\frac{2a_{m-n}}{\sum|a_i|^2}\big)$ for $a=(a_1,\dots,a_{m-n})\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0$. With this notation, we have:

Lemma 7.1. *For $x_\alpha(0,a)(\neq e)\in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha=\pm L_i)$, the "chain" corresponding to it is given by*

$$x_i=x_\alpha(0,a), i\in\mathbb{Z}; \qquad y_i=x_{-\alpha}(0,f(a)), i\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Denoting the element $w_\alpha(x_\alpha(0,a))$ *by* $w_\alpha(0,a)$*, we have*

$$w_\alpha(0,a) = x_\alpha(0,a)x_{-\alpha}(0,f(a))x_\alpha(0,a).$$

Proof. It is easy to check $\{X, Y, [X, Y]\}$ span a three-dimensional Lie algebra isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$X = \sum_j (r(a_j)(f_\alpha^j)_1 + i(a_j)(f_\alpha^j)_2),$$
$$Y = \sum_j -\frac{2r(a_j)(f_{-\alpha}^j)_1 + 2i(a_j)(f_{-\alpha}^j)_2}{\sum |a_i|^2}.$$

And we have

$$\exp(X) = x_\alpha(0,a), \qquad \exp(-Y) = x_\alpha(0,f(a)).$$

Thus by Remark 5.1 we get the conclusion. $\square$

Remark 7.1. Similar computations can be made for the other roots, such as $\pm L_i \pm L_j (i<j)$, $\pm 2L_i$. We record the results here:

$$w_r(z) = x_r(z)x_{-r}(-z^{-1})x_r(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^*, r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i<j),$$

where

$$x_i = x_r(z)\forall i, \qquad y_i = x_{-r}(-z^{-1})\forall i.$$

$$w_\beta(t) = w_\alpha(t,0) = x_\alpha(t,0)x_{-\alpha}(t^{-1},0)x_\alpha(t,0), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^*, \beta = 2\alpha, \alpha = \pm L_i,$$

where

$$x_i = x_\alpha(t,0)\forall i, \qquad y_i = x_{-\alpha}(t^{-1},0)\forall i.$$

Correspondingly, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_r(z) = w_r(z)w_r(1)^{-1}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^*, r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i<j),\\
&h_\beta(t) = w_\beta(t)w_\beta(1)^{-1}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^*, \beta = \pm 2L_i,\\
&h_\alpha((0,a),(0,b)) = w_\alpha(0,a)w_\alpha(0,b)^{-1}, \qquad a,b \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0, \alpha = \pm L_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same notations as in Remark 6.1 we have:

$$w_{L_i - L_j}(z) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-z^{-1})_i, z_j, (-\overline{z})_{i+n}, (\overline{z}^{-1})_{j+n}\big), \qquad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

where π only permutes (i,j) and $(i+n, j+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

$$w_{L_i + L_j}(z) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-z^{-1})_i, (\overline{z}^{-1})_j, (-\overline{z})_{i+n}, z_{j+n}\big), \qquad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

where π only permutes $(i, j+n)$ and $(j, i+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

$$w_{L_i}(0,a) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-2|a|^{-2})_i, (-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2)_{i+n}, B_{2n+1}\big), \qquad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0,$$

where $B \in U(m-n)$ and π only permutes $(i, i+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

$$w_{2L_i}(t) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((t^{-1}\mathrm{i})_i, (t\mathrm{i})_{i+n}\big), \qquad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where π only permutes $(i, i+n)$ while fixes other numbers.

Definition 7.1. We can now define elements $\tilde{x}_r(z)$, $\tilde{x}_\beta(t)$, $\tilde{x}_\alpha(t, a)$, $\tilde{w}_r(z)$, $\tilde{w}_\beta(t)$, $\tilde{w}_\alpha(0, a)$, $\tilde{h}_r(z)$, $\tilde{h}_\beta(t)$, $\tilde{h}_\alpha\big((0, a), (0, b)\big)$ etc. as was done in Section 5.2. We denote by $\tilde{W}_r(r = \pm L_i \pm L_j, i < j)$ the subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ generated by $\tilde{w}_r(z)$, $\tilde{H}_r(r = \pm L_i \pm L_j, i < j)$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}_r(z)$.

Also, by Lemma 5.3, it is clear that certain relations hold both in $\widetilde{G}$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. We record these results in 2 separate lemmas(Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.3), since they will serve as ready references later.

Lemma 7.2. *If $a \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ the following hold in $\widetilde{G}$:*

1 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 z)$,
2 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(-2|a|^{-2} z)$,
3 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}}(0, az)$,
4 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}}(0, a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, -az^{-1})$,
5 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{2L_{n-1}}(t|z|^2)$,
6 $\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-tz\mathrm{i})$.

Hence,

5 $\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, az^{-1})$,
6 $\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1} = \tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t|z|^{-2})$,
7 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, a)^{-1}$
$= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 z\right)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2\right)^{-1}$,
8 $\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)^{-1} = \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-tz\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-t\mathrm{i})^{-1}$.

We denote by $S^i_{\mathbb{R}}$ the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{i+1}$ and by $S^i_{\mathbb{C}}$ the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{i+1}$. Let $\tilde{W}_s$ be the subgroup generated by $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a)$, $a \in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. If $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a)\big) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-1)_n, (-1)_{2n}, B_{2n+1}\big),$$

where π permutes n and 2n while fixes other numbers and $B \in U(m-n)$ with entries $B_{i,j} = -2\overline{a_i}a_j$, for $i \neq j$ and $B_{i,i} = 1 - 2|a_i|^2$. Then B is a reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to $\overline{a}$. Thus for any $w \in \tilde{W}_s$,

$$\pi_1(w) = p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((-1)^\delta_n, (-1)^\delta_{2n}, B_{2n+1}\big),$$

where $\delta = 2$ if $p(\pi) = I_{m+n}$ and $B \in SU(m-n)$; $\delta = 1$ if $p(\pi)$ permutes n and $2n$ and and $B \in U(m-n)$ with determinant -1. Without confusion, we identify $\pi_1(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a))$ and B.

Arguments similar to those in Lemma 6.3 show the following lemma:

Lemma 7.3. *If* $w \in \tilde{W}_s$, $\pi_1(w) = p(\pi)\operatorname{diag}((-1)^\delta_n, 1, (-1)^\delta_{2n}, B_{2n+1})$, $\delta = 1$ *or* 2, $B \in U(m-n)$, $a \in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ *then*

$$w\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a)w^{-1} = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}\overline{B} \cdot a), & \text{if } p(\pi) = I_{m+n}, \\ \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, -\sqrt{2}\overline{B} \cdot a), & \text{if } p(\pi) \neq I_{m+n}, \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

$$w\tilde{x}_{L_n}(t, b)w^{-1} = \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_{L_n}(t, \overline{B} \cdot b), & \text{if } p(\pi) = I_{m+n}, \\ \tilde{x}_{-L_n}(-t, -\overline{B} \cdot b), & \text{if } p(\pi) \neq I_{m+n}. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\cdot$ *means linear operation on vectors.*

We now determine the "chain" for $x_\alpha(t, a)$.

Lemma 7.4. *For* $x_\alpha(t, a)(\neq e) \in U^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha = \pm L_n)$, *the "chain" corresponding to it is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a\big), i \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ y_i &= x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a\big), i \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0 = -\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 + t\mathrm{i}$. *Denoting the element* $w_\alpha(x_\alpha(t, a))$ *by* $w_\alpha(t, a)$, *we have*

$$w_\alpha(t, a) = x_\alpha(t, a)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -a_0^{-1}a\big)x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0}a_0^{-1}a\big).$$

Proof. For a, we can find $B \in SU(m-n)$ such that $B \cdot a = (|a|, \dots, 0)$. Denote $(|a|, \dots, 0)$ by a'. By remarks after Lemma 7.2, we can find $b_i \in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\pi_1\big(\prod_i w_\alpha(\sqrt{2}b_i)\big) = \overline{B}.$$

Let $w = \prod_i w_\alpha(\sqrt{2}b_i)$. Using Lemma 7.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &wx_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a\big)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a\big)x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-1}a\big)w^{-1} \\ &= x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a'\big)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a'\big)x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-1}a'\big). \end{aligned}$$

In [[6], p. 30], it was proved

$$w_\alpha(t, a') = x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a'\big)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a'\big)x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-1}a'\big) \in N(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and in fact acts as the reflection with respect to α. Since $w \in Z(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$, it follows that $x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a\big) = y_i$ and $x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-1}a\big) = x_i$ are the "right" elements in the chain of $x_\alpha(t, a)$ and

$$w_\alpha(t, a) = x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a\big)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t, -\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a\big)x_\alpha\big(t, \overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i}a\big).$$

And we have

$$w_\alpha(t,a)=w^{-1}w_\alpha(t,a')w.$$

Repeating the argument, we find that

$$w_\alpha(t,a)=x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t,-\overline{a_0^i}a_0^{-i-1}a\big)x_\alpha\big(t,\overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-1}a\big)x_{-\alpha}\big(|a_0|^{-2}t,-\overline{a_0^{i+1}}a_0^{-i-2}a\big).$$

This proves the lemma completely. □

Definition 7.2. Denote $w_{L_n}(t,z)=w_{L_n}(t,z,0,\dots,0)$ for $(t,z)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\backslash 0$. Let W_{L_n} be the subgroup generated by all $w_{L_n}(t,a)$ where $(t,a)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n})\backslash 0$ and H_{L_n} the subgroup generated by all $w_{L_n}(t_1,a_1)w_{L_n}(t_2,a_2)^{-1}$ where (t_1,a_1), $(t_2,a_2)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n})\backslash 0$. Denote by W_u the subgroup generated by $w_{L_n}(t,z)$, where $(t,z)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\backslash 0$ and denote by H_u the subgroup generated by all $w_{L_n}(t_1,z_1)w_{L_n}(t_2,z_2)^{-1}$ where $(t_1,z_1),(t_2,z_2)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})\backslash 0$. Denote by W_v the subgroup generated by $w_{L_n}(0,a)$ where $a\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0$ and denote by H_v the subgroup generated by $w_{L_n}(0,a)w_{L_n}(0,b)^{-1}$ where $a,b\in\mathbb{C}^{m-n}\backslash 0$. Let $\tilde{W}_{L_n}$, $\tilde{H}_{L_n}$, $\tilde{W}_u$, $\tilde{W}_v$, $\tilde{H}_v$ and $\tilde{H}_u$ be the corresponding subgroups in $\widetilde{G}$.

For $(t,a)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n})\backslash 0$, where $a=(a_1,...,a_n)$. $a_0=-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2+t\mathrm{i}$ then

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\big)=p(\pi)\mathrm{diag}\big((\overline{a_0^{-1}})_n,(a_0)_{2n},B_{2n+1}\big),$$

where π permutes n and 2n while fixes other numbers and $B\in U(m-n)$(determinant of B is $-\overline{a_0}a_0^{-1}$) with entries $B_{i,j}=\overline{a_i}a_ja_0^{-1}$, for $i\neq j$ and $B_{i,i}=1+|a_i|^2a_0^{-1}$. Without confusion, we identify $\pi_1(w_{L_n}(t,a))$ and B. The following lemma are proved easily by computations using the Steinberg's relations [[24], p. 40] or by using Lemma 5.3:

Lemma 7.5. *For $(t,a),(t_1,b)\in(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{m-n})\backslash 0$, $z\in\mathbb{C}^*$, $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\big)=p(\pi)\,\mathrm{diag}\big((\overline{a_0^{-1}})_n,(a_0)_{2n},B_{2n+1}\big)$ where $a_0=(-\frac{1}{2}|a|^2+t\mathrm{i})$. we have*

1 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t_1,b)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)^{-1}=\tilde{w}_{-L_n}(t_1|a_0|^{-2},\overline{a_0^{-1}B}\cdot b)$,
2 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)^{-1}=\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z\overline{a_0})$,
3 $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)^{-1}=\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z_1a_0^{-1})$,
4 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z)^{-1}=\tilde{w}_{-L_{n-1}}(t|z|^{-2},\overline{z_1^{-1}}a)$,
5 $\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,a)\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)^{-1}=\tilde{w}_{L_{n-1}}(t|z|^2,za)$.

Notice when $m\geq n+1$, $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t,0)=\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)$ where $t\in\mathbb{R}^*$.

For $z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}^*$, we define:

$$\{z_1,z_2\}=\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(z_1)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(z_2)\tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(z_1z_2)^{-1}.$$

In exactly the same manner as proofs of Lemma 6.5 and 6.6, we have the followings:

Lemma 7.6.

$$(1)\ \ker(\pi_1)\cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2} = \{\prod_i \tilde{h}_{L_1-L_2}(z_i) \mid \text{ with } \prod_i z_i = 1\}.$$

$$(2)\ \ker(\pi_1)\cap \tilde{H}_r = \ker(\pi_1)\cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}, \qquad \text{for } r = \pm L_i \pm L_j (i \neq j).$$

Lemma 7.7.

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_1,z_2\} &= \{z_2,z_1\}^{-1} \qquad \forall z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}^*,\\ \{z_1,z_2\cdot z_3\} &= \{z_1,z_2\}\cdot\{z_1,z_3\} \qquad \forall z_1,z_2,z_3\in\mathbb{C}^*,\\ \{z_1\cdot z_2,z_3\} &= \{z_1,z_3\}\cdot\{z_2,z_3\} \qquad \forall z_1,z_2,z_3\in\mathbb{C}^*,\\ \{z,1-z\} &= 1 \qquad \forall z\in\mathbb{C}^*, z\neq 1,\\ \{z,-z\} &= 1 \qquad \forall z\in\mathbb{C}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we define a symbol on $\mathbb{C}$.

7.3. **Structure of** $\ker(\pi_1)$**.** If $m \geq n+2$, for any $(a,b)\in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, $j \leq m-n-1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b) = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\ldots,0,\underset{j+1}{\sqrt{2}a},\underset{j+2}{\sqrt{2}b},0,\ldots,0)\\ \cdot\, \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\ldots,0,\underset{j+1}{-\sqrt{2}},0,\ldots,0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ denote the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)$, $((a,b)\in S^1_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\tilde{H}_0$ denote the cyclic group generated by $\tilde{h}_{2n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{2n}(-1)$ and $\tilde{H}_c$ denote the cyclic group generated by $\tilde{h}_{2n}(-1)$.

An important step in proving Theorem 5 is:

Theorem 8. *If* $n \leq m \leq n+1$, $\ker(\pi_1) = \big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_0$*; if* $m\geq n+2$, $\ker(\pi_1) = \big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_0\cdot\big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}\big)$.

The proof of this theorem relies on the following result. Recall the notation set in Definition 7.2. We have

Lemma 7.8. (i) $\tilde{H}_{2L_n} \subseteq \tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\cdot\tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\cdot\tilde{H}_c$.

(ii) $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{2L_n} \subseteq (\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2})\cdot\tilde{H}_0$.

(iii) $\tilde{H}_v \subseteq \tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}\cdot\tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\cdot\tilde{H}_{s_0}$.

(iv) $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_v \subseteq (\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}-L_n})\cdot(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0})$.

(v) $\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_n} \subseteq \big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\big)\cdot\tilde{H}_0\cdot\big(\ker(\pi_1)\cap\tilde{H}_{s_0}\big)$

Proof. (i) Using Lemma 7.2, for $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, let $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|z| = |t|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}_{2L_n}(t) &= \tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t)\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\\ &= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t|z|^{-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\\ &= \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t|t|^{-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1).\end{aligned}$$

If $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t|t|^{-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\\ =&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1))\\ =&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(-z^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{i})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $t < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(t|t|^{-1})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\\ =&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}\tilde{w}_{2L_n}(-1)\\ =&\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{i})^{-1}\tilde{h}_{2L_n}(-1).\end{aligned}$$

Especially, if $t = -1$, we have

$$e = \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z\mathrm{i})^{-1},$$

for $\forall z \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 7.6, we have

$$\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z\mathrm{i})^{-1} \in \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z^{-1}) \cdot \big(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}\big),$$

then it follows

$$\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z^{-1}) \in \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2} \tag{7.3}$$

for $\forall z \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence we proved (i).

(ii) By Lemma 7.6 and (i), any $h \in \tilde{H}_{2L_n}$ can be written as

$$h = \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z_1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z_2)h_1h_2$$

where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $h_1 \in \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ and $h_2 \in \tilde{H}_c$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $z_1 = \overline{z_2} = \overline{z_1^{-1}}$, and $\pi_1(h_2) = I_{m+n}$. By (7.3) we have

$$\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z_1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z_2) \in \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}.$$

Notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}_{2n}(-1))$ =diag$((-1)_n, (-1)_{2n})$, it follows $h_2 = \big(\tilde{h}_{2n}(-1)\big)^{2k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we proved (ii).

(iii) Notice for $(a, b) \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a, \sqrt{2}b)\big) = \mathrm{diag}(R_{2n+j}),$$

where

$$R_j = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 - |b|^2 & -2\overline{a}b \\ 2\overline{b}a & |a|^2 - |b|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0, \sqrt{2}a, \sqrt{2}b)\big)$, $j \leq m - n - 1$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $SU(m-n)$. Using Lemma 7.5, similar to proofs in Lemma 6.4 and Corollary 6.1, it follows

$$\tilde{H}_v \subseteq \tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}-L_n} \cdot \tilde{H}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}\tilde{H}_{s_0}.$$

(iv) By Lemma 7.6 and (iii), any $h \in \tilde{H}_v$ can be written as

$$h = \tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}-L_n}(z_1)\tilde{h}_{L_{n-1}+L_n}(z_2)h_1h_2$$

where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $h_1 \in \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$ and $h_2 \in \tilde{H}_{s_0}$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $z_1 = \overline{z_2} = \overline{z_1^{-1}}$, and $\pi_1(h_2) = I_{m+n}$. Along the line in proof of (ii), we get (iv).

(v) By Lemma 7.4, for $\forall(t, a) \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n})\backslash 0$ we can find $w \in \tilde{H}_v$ such that

$$\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t, a) = w^{-1}\tilde{w}_{L_n}(t, |a|)w.$$

Using Lemma 7.5, for any $w_1 \in \tilde{W}_u$ we have

$$w_1\tilde{W}_v w_1^{-1} \subseteq \tilde{W}_v \qquad \text{and} \qquad w_1\tilde{H}_v w_1^{-1} \subseteq \tilde{H}_v.$$

Thus it follows

$$\tilde{H}_{L_n} \subseteq \tilde{H}_v \cdot \tilde{H}_u.$$

Then any $h \in \tilde{H}_{L_n}$ can be written as $h = h_v h_u$ where $h_v \in \tilde{H}_v$ and $h_u \in \tilde{H}_u$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$ we have $\pi_1(h_u) = \pi_1(h_v) = I_{m+n}$. Thus it follows that $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_n} \subseteq (\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_v) \cdot (\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_u)$.

In [[6], p37-p59] Theorem 2.13 asserts that if $m = n + 1$, $\ker(\pi_1) = \ker\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{2L_n}$. It follows $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_u \subseteq \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{2L_n}$. By (ii) and (iv) we get (v). □

Proof of Theorem 8

If $m = n$, by Remark 5.2, $\ker(\pi_1) \subseteq (\prod_{r\in\Delta} \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_r)$ where $\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}, 2L_n\}$. By Lemma 7.6, $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_i-L_{i+1}} = \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1-L_2}$. By Lemma 7.8 (2), we are thus though this case.

We are though the case $m = n+1$ by referring to [[6],Theorem 2.13] which asserts that if $m = n + 1$, $\ker(\pi_1) = \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{2L_n}$.

When $m \geq n + 2$, by Remark 5.2, $\ker(\pi_1) \subseteq (\prod_{r\in\Delta} \ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_r)$ where $\Delta = \{L_i - L_{i+1}, L_n\}$. Along the line in proof of the case $m = n$, and by Lemma 7.8 (5), we are though this case.

7.4. **Construction of $S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$-symbols.** We now proceed with the study of $\ker(\pi_1)\cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}$ when $m-n\geq 2$. Up to Lemma 7.10, we study properties of $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a)(a\in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}b,\sqrt{2}c)\big((b,c)\in S^1\big)$ which build up the whole $\tilde{H}_{s_0}$, and construct new symbols on $S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ to get prepared for further study of $\ker(\pi_1)\cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}$.

Definition 7.3. Recall that $\tilde{W}_s$ the subgroup generated by $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a)$, where $a\in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will do calculations inside $\tilde{W}_s$ until the end of Section 7.6. For the sake of simplicity, we denote $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a)(a\in S^{m-n-1})$ by $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)$, $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0,\sqrt{2}a,\sqrt{2}b)(a,b\in S^1_{\mathbb{C}})$ by $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(a,b)$.

Lemma 7.9. *For $(a,b),(c,d)\in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$, $v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0)=e,\\
&(2)[\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b),\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)]\\
&\quad=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a^2-b^2,2ab)\cdot(c,d)\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a^2-b^2,2ab)^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)^{-1}\\
&\quad=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c^2-d^2,2cd)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c^2-d^2,2cd)\big)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3)[\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i}),\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d\mathrm{i})]\\
=&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a^2-b^2,-2ab\mathrm{i})\cdot(c,d\mathrm{i})\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a^2-b^2,-2ab\mathrm{i})^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d\mathrm{i})^{-1}\\
=&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c^2-d^2,-2cd\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b\mathrm{i})\cdot(c^2-d^2,-2cd\mathrm{i})\big)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the $\cdot$ follows multiplication rule among quarternions if we identify any quarternion $(x+y\mathrm{i}+z\mathrm{j}+w\mathrm{k})$ with $(x+y\mathrm{i},z+w\mathrm{i})$.

Proof. (1) Notice $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0))=I_{m+n}$ for any $v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$. For $\forall u\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$, choose $h\in\tilde{H}_{s_0}$ such that $\pi_1(h)$=diag$(\overline{u}_{2n+i},u_{2n+i+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0)=\tilde{h}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0)\tilde{h}^{-1}\\
&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(uv)_i,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,-u_i,\dots,0)\\
&=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(uv,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(u,0)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $u,v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(uv,0)=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(u,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-v^2,0)=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(v,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-v,0)\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,v_i,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-1)_i,\dots,0)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-v)_i,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-1)_i,\dots,0)\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-1)_i,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-1)_i,\dots,0)\\&=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-1,0).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(-\mathrm{i}^2,0)=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(1,0)=e$, we thus proved (1).

(2) and (3) By Lemma 7.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,c_i,d_{i+1},0\dots,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(ca^2-cb^2-2dba,da^2-db^2+2cba)\\&\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i})\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,c_i,d_{i+1}\mathrm{i},0,\dots,0)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i})^{-1}\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(ca^2-cb^2+2dba,(da^2-db^2-2cba)\mathrm{i}).\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.7, we get (2) and (3). ☐

Lemma 7.10. *For* $\forall v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\forall a\in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *we have* $\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(va)$.

Proof. For $v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ $a=(a_1,\dots,a_n)\in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$, define $f:S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}\times S^0_{\mathbb{C}}\to S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be $f(a,g)=((2|a_1|^2-1)g,2ga_2\overline{a_1},\dots,2ga_n\overline{a_1})$. It is easy to check f is surjective. Using Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.9, for any $u,v\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}e&=\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(u,0)(\tilde{h}^1_{L_n}(-v,0))^{-1}\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(u,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(v,\dots,0)\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(u,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(v,\dots,0)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)^{-1}\\&=\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big((2|a_1|^2-1)u,2ua_2\overline{a_1},\dots,2ua_n\overline{a_1}\big)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}_{L_n}\big((2|a_1|^2-1)v,2va_2\overline{a_1},\dots,2va_n\overline{a_1}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the lemma. ☐

For $(a,b),(c,d)\in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ we define:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(a,b),(c,d)\}^1_i=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b)\cdot(c,d)\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d)^{-1},\\&\{(a,b),(c,d)\}^2_i=\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}\big((a,b\mathrm{i})\cdot(c,d\mathrm{i})\big)\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i})^{-1}\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(c,d\mathrm{i})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let i,j be distinct, and let

$$\begin{aligned}w=&\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_{i+1},\dots,(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_{j+1},0\dots,0)\\&\cdot\tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\dots,(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_i,\dots,(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})_j,0,\dots,0).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.3, for $\forall (u,v) \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$w\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(u,v)w^{-1} = \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(u,v).$$

Since $\{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta_i \in Z(\tilde{G})(\delta = 1,2)$, it follows that

Lemma 7.11.

$$\{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta = \{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta_i \qquad \delta = 1,2,$$

are well defined.

Using Lemma 7.9, in exactly the same manner as the proof in the appendix of [8], we prove that these $\{(a,b),(c,d)\}$'s satisfy the conditions

Lemma 7.12. *For all* $(a,b),(c,d),(a_1,b_1),(c_1,d_1) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and* $\delta = 1,2$ *we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta &= (\{(c,d),(a,b)\}^\delta)^{-1},\\
\{(a,b),(c,d)\cdot(c_1,d_1)\}^\delta &= \{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta \cdot \{(a,b),(c_1,d_1)\}^\delta,\\
\{(a,b)\cdot(a_1,b_1),(c,d)\}^\delta &= \{(a,b),(c,d)\}^\delta \cdot \{(a_1,b_1),(c,d)\}^\delta,\\
\{(c,d),(-c,-d)\}^\delta &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we define 2 symbols on $S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote by H_{sym} The subgroup generated by these symbols.

7.5. **Structure of H^i.** Let H^i_0 be the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b)\big((a,b) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}\big)$, H^i_1 be the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}^i_{L_n}(a,b\mathrm{i})\big((a,b) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}\big)$ and H^i the subgroup generated by $\tilde{h}^i(u,v)\big((u,v) \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}\big)$. Using Lemma 7.11, along the line of proof of Lemma 6.9, We get the following:

Lemma 7.13.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\ \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^j_\delta = \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1_\delta \qquad j \le m-n-1, \delta = 0,1,\\
&(2)\ \ker(\pi_1) \cap H^1_\delta = H_{sym} \cap H^1_\delta \qquad \delta = 0,1.
\end{aligned}$$

We now make a slight digression to state a fact and prove a lemma whose roles will be clear from the subsequent development. However, the fact and the lemma in themselves seems to be interesting.

Fact 7.1. If $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}_{L_n}(a)\tilde{w}_{L_n}(b)\big) = C$, where $a,b \in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have

$$4|\langle a,b\rangle|^2 + m - n - 4 = \mathrm{trace}(C),$$

where $\langle a,b\rangle = a \cdot \overline{b}$ is the inner product of a and b.

Denote $\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a,b) = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0,\ldots,a_j,b_{j+1},0,\ldots,0)$ where $(a,b) \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 7.14. *If* $\langle(a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2)\rangle=\langle(c_1,c_2),(d_1,d_2)\rangle$*, where* (a_1,a_2)*,* (b_1,b_2)*,* (c_1,c_2)*,* $(d_1,d_2)\in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$*, there exists* $g\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $h\in SU(2)$ *such that*

$$h\cdot(a_1,a_2)=(gc_1,gc_2),\qquad h\cdot(b_1,b_2)=(gd_1,gd_2).$$

Proof. We first consider the case $(c_1,c_2)=(1,0)$. Direct calculation shows for any $g\in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$, if we let

$$h=\begin{pmatrix}\overline{a_1}g & \overline{a_2}g\\ -a_2\overline{g} & a_1\overline{g}\end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&h\cdot(a_1,a_2)=(gc_1,0),\\ &h\cdot(b_1,b_2)=\big(g(\overline{a_1}b_1+\overline{a_2}b_2),g(-a_2\overline{g}^2b_1+a_1\overline{g}^2b_2)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Since h preserves inner product, we have

$$\langle h\cdot(a_1,a_2),h\cdot(b_1,b_2)\rangle=\langle(c_1,c_2),(d_1,d_2)\rangle=\overline{d_1}.$$

Thus it follows $\overline{a_1}b_1+\overline{a_2}b_2=d_1$, $|-a_2b_2+a_1b_2|=\sqrt{1-|d_1|^2}=|d_2|$. Hence we can choose right g such that $-a_2\overline{g}^2b_2+a_1\overline{g}^2b_2=d_2$. We thus proved the case for $(c_1,c_2)=(1,0)$.

If $(c_1,c_2)\neq(1,0)$, there exists $h'\in SU(2)$ such that $h'\cdot(c_1,c_2)=(1,0)$. Then we reduce it to previous case. We hence proved the lemma. □

An important step in proving the main Theorem 5 is:

Theorem 9. $\ker(\pi_1)\cap H^j=H_{sym}$ *for* $\forall j\le m-n-1$.

The ensuring discussion up to Lemma 7.16 proves the theorem. Recall the definition for $\tilde{W}_s$ in Definition 7.3. Consider the quotient group $\tilde{W}_s/H_{sym}$ until the end of Lemma 7.16. Note that H_{sym} being central, this is well defined. We continue to write $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(a,b)\big((a,b)\in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}\big)$ and $\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(a)(a\in S^{m-n-1}_{\mathbb{C}})$ for their images in $\tilde{W}_s/H_{sym}$. However, there is no confusion in doing so.

Lemma 7.15. *Let* $g_1,g_2:[0,2\pi]^2\to S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ *be defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}&g_1(a,b)=(\cos a\cos b-\sin a\sin b\mathrm{i},\cos a\sin b-\sin a\cos b\mathrm{i}),\\ &g_2(a,b)=(\cos a\cos b-\sin a\sin b\mathrm{i},\sin a\cos b+\cos a\sin b\mathrm{i}).\end{aligned}$$

For any $a,b,x\in[0,2\pi]$*, there exist* c,d,y*,* $c_1,d_1,y_1\in[0,2\pi]$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_2(a,b)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x)=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(c,d)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y,\sin y\mathrm{i}),\\ &(2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(a,b)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x\mathrm{i})=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_2(c_1,d_1)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y_1,\sin y_1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Fix j. At first, we want to show that there exist $(z_1, z_2) \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $y \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big) = \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_1, z_2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big). \tag{7.4}$$

Suppose $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big)$ is given by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta\mathrm{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, there is nothing to prove.

Now suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$. If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$, let $u = t(1-\alpha^2+\beta^2)$, $v = \frac{u\overline{\beta}-\beta\overline{u}}{\alpha-\overline{\alpha}}$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|v|^2 + |u|^2 = 1$; if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$, $\beta\mathrm{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ let $u = t\alpha\mathrm{i}$, $v = \frac{u\overline{\beta}-\beta\overline{u}}{\alpha-\overline{\alpha}}$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|v|^2 + |u|^2 = 1$; if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$, let $u = t\beta$, $v = -\frac{u\overline{\alpha}+\alpha\overline{u}}{\overline{\beta}+\beta}$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|v|^2 + |u|^2 = 1$.

Let R be the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & u \\ \overline{u} & -v \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have $R \cdot (\alpha, -\overline{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$. Thus there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $R \cdot (\alpha, -\overline{\beta}) = (-\cos 2y, \sin 2y\mathrm{i})$.

Let $(z_1, z_2) = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1-v)}, -\frac{u}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1-v)}}\Big)$ if $v \neq 1$; $(z_1, z_2) = (0, 1)$ if $v = 1$, then we have $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_1, z_2)\big)= R$ and

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big) = \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_1, z_2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big).$$

Next, we want to show there exists $(c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(c,d))\big)= \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_1, z_2)\big).$$

By Lemma 7.10, we just need to show there exist (c, d) and $z \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $g_1(c, d) = (zz_1, zz_2)$. Notice $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let A denote the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos c & \sin c\mathrm{i} \\ \sin c\mathrm{i} & \cos c \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sin c = 0$, $z = 1$, then $A \cdot (zz_1, zz_2) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $z_1 = 0$, let $\sin c = 0$, $z = \frac{\overline{z_2}}{|z_2|}$, then $A \cdot (zz_1, zz_2) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Now suppose $z_1z_2 \neq 0$ and $z_2 \notin \mathbb{R}$. If $z_2 \in \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$, then $(z_1, z_2) = (\cos r, \sin r\mathrm{i})$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $z = 1$, $c = -r$, then $A\cdot(zz_1, zz_2) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Now suppose $z_1z_2 \neq 0$, $z_2 \notin \mathbb{R}$ and $z_2 \notin \mathbb{R}\mathrm{i}$. Let $z = \frac{\sqrt{z_1^2-\overline{z_2}^2}}{|\sqrt{z_1^2-\overline{z_2}^2}|}$, $\cot c = \frac{(zz_2+\overline{zz_2})\mathrm{i}}{z_1\overline{z}-zz_1}$(notice in this case $z_1^2 - \overline{z_2}^2 \neq 0$ and $\overline{z}z_1 - zz_1 \neq 0$), then we have $A \cdot (zz_1, zz_2) \in S^1_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(\cos d, \sin d) = A \cdot (zz_1, zz_2)$, then $g_1(c, d) = (zz_1, zz_2)$.

Hence we proved that for any given a, b, any x we can find $(c, d) \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $y \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big) = \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(c,d))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big).$$

By Fact 7.1 we have

$$|\big\langle g_2(c,d), (\cos x, \sin x)\big\rangle| = |\big\langle g_1(a,b), (\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big\rangle|.$$

There exists $z_1 \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\big\langle g_2(c,d), (\cos x, \sin x)\big\rangle = \big\langle z_1 g_1(a,b), (\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big\rangle.$$

By Lemma 7.14, there exist $z_0 \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $h' \in H^j$ such that

$$\overline{\pi_1(h')}(g_2(c,d)) = z_0 z_1 g_1(a,b) \text{ and } \overline{\pi_1(h')}(\cos x, \sin x) = (z_0 \cos y, z_0 \sin y\mathrm{i}).$$

Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & h'\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big)h'^{-1} \\ & = \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_0 z_1 g_1(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_0 \cos y, z_0 \sin y\mathrm{i}) \\ & = \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(a,b)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i}). \qquad (\text{ by Lemma 7.10}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

If $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big) = I_{m+n}$, there is nothing to prove.

Now suppose $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big) \neq I_{m+n}$.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\cos x_1 \cos x_2 + \sin x_1 \sin x_2| = |\big\langle g_2(a,b), (\cos x, \sin x)\big\rangle|.$$

Then there exists $z \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\big\langle (\cos x_1, \sin x_1), (\cos x_2, \sin x_2)\big\rangle = \big\langle z g_2(a,b), (\cos x, \sin x)\big\rangle,$$

and by Lemma 7.14, there exist $h_1 \in H^j$ and $z_2 \in S^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\pi_1(h_1)}(z g_2(a,b)) &= (z_2 \cos x_1, z_2 \sin x_1), \\ \overline{\pi_1(h_1)}(\cos x, \sin x) &= (z_2 \cos x_2, z_2 \sin x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus following (7.5) and Lemma 7.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y, \sin y\mathrm{i})\big)h_1^{-1} \\ & = h_1 h'\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\big)h'^{-1}h_1^{-1} \\ & = (h_1 h' h_1^{-1})\big(h_1 \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)h_1^{-1}\big) \cdot (h_1 h' h_1^{-1})^{-1} \\ & = (h_1 h' h_1^{-1})\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_2 \cos x_1, z_2 \sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(z_2 \cos x_2, z_2 \sin x_2)\big)(h_1 h' h_1^{-1})^{-1} \\ & = (h_1 h' h_1^{-1})\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2)\big)(h_1 h' h_1^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\big(h_1\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y,\sin y\mathrm{i})h_1^{-1}\big)\\&=\pi_1\big(h_1\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(zg_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x)h_1^{-1}\big)\\&=\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2,\sin x_2)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_1(h_1h'h_1^{-1})$ commute with $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2,\sin x_2)\big)$ which is conjugate with $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y,\sin y\mathrm{i})\big)\neq I_{m+n}$ and is in $\pi_1(H^j_0)$, hence there exists $h\in H^j_0$ and $h_0\in\ker(\pi_1)$ such that $h_1h'h_1^{-1}=hh_0$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}&h_1\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_1(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos y,\sin y\mathrm{i})h_1^{-1}\\&=(h_1h'h_1^{-1})\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2,\sin x_2)\big)(h_1h'h_1^{-1})^{-1}\\&=hh_0\big(\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2,\sin x_2)\big)(hh_0)^{-1}\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1,\sin x_1)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2,\sin x_2)(\text{ by Lemma 7.13})\\&=h_1\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(g_2(a,b))\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x,\sin x)h_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved (1).

(2) Similar arguments hold for (2). □

As a second step toward the proof of Theorem 9, we prove

Lemma 7.16. *For any $\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3$, we can find β_1,β_2,β_3, $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\mathrm{i})\\&\quad=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_1,\sin\beta_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_2,\sin\beta_2\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\beta_3,\sin\beta_3),\\&(2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3)\\&\quad=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\alpha_1,\sin\alpha_1\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\alpha_2,\sin\alpha_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\alpha_3,\sin\alpha_3\mathrm{i}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Let $\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\big)=A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\mathrm{i})\\&=\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\mathrm{i})\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(\overline{A}\cdot(\cos\theta_2,\sin\theta_2)\big)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\\&\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\\&=\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(-2\theta_1,\theta_2)\big)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1,\sin\theta_1\mathrm{i})\\&\cdot\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3,\sin\theta_3\mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3, \sin\theta_3 \mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0) \in H^j_1,$$

there exists $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3, \sin\theta_3 \mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\\ &= \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3, \sin\theta_3 \mathrm{i})\\ &= \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(-2\theta_1, \theta_2)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1 \mathrm{i}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.15, there exist $a, b, x_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_1(-2\theta_1, \theta_2)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1 \mathrm{i}) = \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_2(2a, b)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3, \sin\theta_3 \mathrm{i})\\ &= \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}\big(g_2(2a, b)\big)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2)\\ &= \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos b, \sin b\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)^{-1}\\ &\cdot \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2)\\ &= \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos b, \sin b\mathrm{i})\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(-1,0)\\ &\cdot \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)^{-1}\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2)\\ &= \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos b, \sin b\mathrm{i})\\ &\cdot \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)^{-1}\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)^{-1}\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2) \in H^j_0$$

by Lemma 7.13 there exists $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x)\\ &= \tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(1,0)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin b)^{-1}\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_1, \sin\theta_1 \mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_2)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos\theta_3, \sin\theta_3 \mathrm{i})\\ &= \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos a, \sin a)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos b, \sin b\mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x, \sin x).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta_1 = a$, $\beta_2 = b$ and $\beta_3 = x$, we proved (1).

(2) It follows almost the same manner as the proof of (1).

We thus completely proved the lemma. □

7.6. **Proof of Lemma 9.** Observe that for $\forall j$, $\pi_1(H_0^j)$ and $\pi_1(H_1^j)$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $SU(2)$. Using the same trick as we used in proof of Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 7.4 etc., it follows H^j is generated by H_0^j and H_1^j. By Lemma 7.13 and Lemma 7.16, every element $h_0 \in H_j$ can be express as

$$h = \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2 \mathrm{i})\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_3, \sin x_3)h_0$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_0 \in H_{sym}$.

If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2 \mathrm{i})\big) = I_{m+n},\\ &\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_3, \sin x_3)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1)\big) = I_{m+n}.\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2 \mathrm{i})\big) = \mathrm{diag}(-1_{2n+j}, -1_{2n+j+1}),\\ &\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_3, \sin x_3)\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_1, \sin x_1)\big) = \mathrm{diag}(-1_{2n+j}, -1_{2n+j+1}).\end{aligned}$$

For the former case, it is clear $h \in H_{sym}$. For the latter one, we have $\cos x_2 = \cos(x_1 + x_3) = 0$. Using Lemma 7.10 we have

$$\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(\cos x_2, \sin x_2 \mathrm{i}) = \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0, \pm \mathrm{i}) = \tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0, 1).$$

Hence we also get $h \in H_{sym}$ for this case. We thus have prove the theorem completely.

Corollary 7.1. *If* $m - n = 2$, $\ker(\pi_1) = \big(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1 - L_2}\big) \cdot \tilde{H}_0 \cdot H_{sym}$.

Proof. The conclusion is clear by Theorem 8 and Theorem 9. □

7.7. **Structure of** $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0}$**.** Let $L_i = \{(t, a) | (t, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^i, \frac{1}{4}|a|^4 + t^2 = 1\}$. Let $H = \big(\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{L_1 - L_2}\big) \cdot \tilde{H}_0 \cdot H_{sym}$. Denote

$$\tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(t, \dots, a_{j+1}, b_{j+2}, c_{j+3}, \dots, 0)$$

where $(t, a, b, c) \in L_3$. Let $\tilde{h}^j(0, a, b) = \tilde{w}^j(0, a, b, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t, -\sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$ where $(0, a, b) \in L_2$. Notice if $t = 0$, then $|a| = \sqrt{2}$. Thus the notation set here coincide with what was defined in Definition 7.3.

The crucial step in proving Theorem 5 is:

Theorem 10. $\ker(\pi_1) \cap \tilde{H}_{s_0} \subseteq H$.

The ensuring discussion up to Lemma 7.20 proves the theorem. We prove a technical lemma at first.

Lemma 7.17. *If* $(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0), (t_2, a_2, b_2, 0), (t_3, a_3, b_3, 0), (t_4, a_4, b_4, 0) \in L_3$*, satisfying*

$$\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_2, a_2, b_2, 0)\big) = \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(t_3, a_3, b_3, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_4, a_4, b_4, 0)\big),$$

there exists $h_0 \in H$ such that

$$\tilde{w}^j(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_2, a_2, b_2, 0) = \tilde{w}^j(t_3, a_3, b_3, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_4, a_4, b_4, 0)h_0.$$

Proof. Let

$$w = \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, 0, -1, \ldots, 1_{j+2}, 0 \ldots, 0) \cdot \tilde{w}_{L_n}(0, -1, \ldots, 1_{j+1}, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

By Lemma 7.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{w}^j(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_2, a_2, b_2, 0)\big(\tilde{w}^j(t_3, a_3, b_3, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_4, a_4, b_4, 0)\big)^{-1}\\ &= w\tilde{w}^j(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_2, a_2, b_2, 0)w^{-1}\\ &\cdot w\big(\tilde{w}^j(t_3, a_3, b_3, 0)\tilde{w}^j(t_4, a_4, b_4, 0)\big)^{-1}w^{-1}\\ &= \tilde{w}^1(t_1, a_1, b_1, 0)\tilde{w}^1(t_2, a_2, b_2, 0)\\ &\cdot \big(\tilde{w}^1(t_3, a_3, b_3, 0)\tilde{w}^1(t_4, a_4, b_4, 0)\big)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 7.1 we get the conclusion. □

Now we consider the quotient group $\tilde{W}_{L_n}(t, a)/H$ where $(t, a) \in L$ until Lemma 7.20. We continue to write $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0, a, b)\big((0, a, b) \in L\big)$ and $\tilde{w}^j_{L_n}(t, a)\big((t, a) \in L\big)$ for their images in $\tilde{W}_{L_n}(t, a)/H$ without confusion.

Lemma 7.18. *For* $\forall(0, a, b, c) \in L_3$, $\forall(0, u, v) \in L_2$, *we can find* (t_1, a_1, b_1, c_1), $(t_2, a_2, b_2, c_2) \in L_3$, (t_1, u_1, v_1), $(t_2, u_2, v_2) \in L_2$ *such that*

$$(1)\tilde{w}^j(0, a, b, c)\tilde{w}^j(0, 0, u, v) = \tilde{w}^j(t_1, a_1, b_1, c_1)\tilde{w}^j(-t_1, u_1, v_1, 0),$$

$$(2)\tilde{w}^j(0, a, b, c)\tilde{w}^j(0, u, v, 0) = \tilde{w}^j(t_2, a_2, b_2, c_2)\tilde{w}^j(-t_2, 0, u_2, v_2).$$

Proof. (1) If (a, b, c) and $(0, u, v)$ are collinear, then $(a, b, c) = \pm(0, u, v)$. We can assume $(a, b, c) = (0, -u, -v)$ by Lemma 7.10. Let $t_1 = 0$, $(a_1, b_1, c_1) = (-u_1, -v_1, 0)$ we get the conclusion.

If they are not collinear, there exists $(u_1', v_1') \in S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $(u_1', v_1', 0)$ is on the plane generated by (a, b, c) and $(0, u, v)$. Let h be an element of the subgroup generated by H^j and H^{j+1} such that $\pi_1(h)$ maps (a, b, c) and $(0, u, v)$ to xy-plane in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with last coordinate 0 and $\pi_1(h) \cdot (u_1', v_1', 0) = (0, 1, 0)$. Let $(u_1, v_1) = (\sqrt[4]{4 - 4t^2}u_1', \sqrt[4]{4 - 4t^2}v_1')$ where $0 \le t \le 1$.

Let $f : (L_2 \cap \mathbb{R}^3) \times S^0_{\mathbb{C}} \to S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined as follows:

$$f(t, t_1, t_2, g) = \big((a + t_1^2)\overline{a}, -t_1t_2ga\big)$$

where $a = -\sqrt{1 - t^2} + t\mathrm{i}$. It is clear that f is surjective.

Since $\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(t, t_1, t_2g, 0)\big)\cdot\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(-t, \overline{\pi_1(h)} \cdot (u_1, v_1, 0)\big)$ is given by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}(a + t_1^2)\overline{a} & -t_1t_2ga\\ t_1t_2\overline{ga} & (\overline{a} + t_1^2)a\end{pmatrix},$$

if we denote $\pi_1(h) = B$, by assumption about h, there exists (t, t_1, t_2, g) such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(0, \overline{B}\cdot(a,b,c))\tilde{w}^j(0,\overline{B}\cdot(0,u,v))\big)\\ &\quad= \pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(t,t_1,t_2g,0)\big)\pi_1\big(\tilde{w}^j(-t,\overline{\pi_1(h)}\cdot(u_1,v_1,0)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 7.17, it follows

$$\tilde{w}^j(t,t_1,t_2g,0)\tilde{w}^j\big(-t,\overline{B}\cdot(u_1,v_1,0)\big) = \tilde{w}^j\big(0,\overline{B}\cdot(a,b,c)\big)\tilde{w}^j\big(0,\overline{B}\cdot(0,u,v)\big).$$

Let $(a_1,b_1,c_1) = \overline{\pi_1(h)^{-1}}(t_1,t_2g,0)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&h\tilde{w}^j(t,a_1,b_1,c_1)\tilde{w}^j(-t,u_1,v_1,0)h^{-1}\\ &\quad= \tilde{w}^j(t,t_1,t_2g,0)\tilde{w}^j\big(-t,\overline{B}\cdot(u_1,v_1,0)\big)\\ &\quad= \tilde{w}^j\big(0,\overline{B}\cdot(a,b,c)\big)\tilde{w}^j\big(0,\overline{B}\cdot(0,u,v)\big)\\ &\quad= h\tilde{w}^j(0,a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j(0,0,u,v)h^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\tilde{w}^j(t,a_1,b_1,c_1)\tilde{w}^j(-t,u_1,v_1,0) = \tilde{w}^j(0,a,b,c)\tilde{w}^j(0,0,u,v).$$

Hence we proved (1).

(2) Similar arguments hold for (2). □

Let H_j^0 denote the subgroup generated by $\tilde{w}^j(0,a,b,0)\tilde{w}^j(0,1,1,0)$ where $|a| = |b| = 1$. Observe that $\pi_1(H_j^0)$ is isomorphic to set of all diagonal matrices in $SU(2)$.

Lemma 7.19.

$$H^jH^{j+1}H^j = H^{j+1}H^jH^{j+1}.$$

Proof. Fix j. Observe that $\pi_1\big(\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0,a,b)\big)$ where $(0,a,b) \in L_2$ and $\pi_1(H_j^0)$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $SU(2)$, by Theorem 9, every element in H_j can be expressed as $\tilde{h}^j_{L_n}(0,a,b)h$ where $(0,a,b) \in L_2$ and $h \in H_j^0$.

We now prove

$$H^jH^{j+1}H^j \subseteq H^{j+1}H^jH^{j+1}.$$

Let $h_1^j, h_3^j \in H^j$, $h_2^{j+1} \in H^{j+1}$. By above analysis, there exist $(0,a_2,b_2) \in L_2$ and $h_2 \in H_{j+1}^0$ such that $h_2^{j+1} = \tilde{h}^{j+1}_{L_n}(0,a_2,b_2)h_2$. Let $\pi_1(h_1^j) = A$.

Keep using Lemma 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j &= h_1^j \tilde{h}_{L_n}^{j+1}(0, a_2, b_2) h_2 h_3^j \\ &= h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, a_2, b_2) \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) h_2 h_3^j \\ &= \tilde{w}^j\big(0, \overline{A} \cdot (0, a_2, b_2)\big) h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) h_2 h_3^j \\ &= \tilde{w}^j\big(0, \overline{A} \cdot (0, a_2, b_2)\big) h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) (h_3^j)' h_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $(h_3^j)' \in H^j$ since $h_2 H^j h_2^{-1} \subseteq H^j$. Notice

$$h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0)(h_3^j)' \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) \in H^j,$$

by Theorem 9 there exist $(0, a_1, b_1) \in L_2$ and $h' \in H_j^0$ such that

$$h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0)(h_3^j)' \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) = \tilde{w}^j(0, a_1, b_1, 0) h' \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0)$$

Continue, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j &= \tilde{w}^j\big(\overline{A} \cdot (0, a_2, b_2)\big) h_1^j \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0)(h_3^j)' \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, -1, 0) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{w}^j(0, 0, 1, 0) h_2 \\ &= \tilde{w}^j\big(\overline{A} \cdot (0, a_2, b_2)\big) \tilde{w}^j(0, a_1, b_1, 0) h' h_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.18, there exist $(t, a, b, c) \in L_3$ and $(t, u, v) \in L_2$ such that

$$\tilde{w}^j\big(\overline{A} \cdot (0, a_2, b_2)\big) \tilde{w}^j(0, a_1, b_1, 0) = \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v).$$

Hence we have

$$h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j = \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v) h' h_2.$$

If $b = c = 0$, let $t_1 = -\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 + t\mathrm{i}$, by Lemma 7.3 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j = \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v) h' h_2 \\ &= \big(\tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v) \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c)^{-1}\big) \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c) h' h_2 \\ &= \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, t_1 u, t_1 v) \tilde{w}^j(t, a, 0, 0) h' h_2 \\ &= \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, t_1 u, t_1 v) \tilde{w}^j(t, 0, a, 0) \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, -a, 0) \tilde{w}^j(t, a, 0, 0) h' h_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_4^{j+1} = \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, t_1 u, t_1 v) \tilde{w}^j(t, 0, a, 0)$, $h_5^j = \tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, -a, 0) \tilde{w}^j(t, a, 0, 0) h'$, $h_6^{j+1} = h_2$, then we have

$$h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j = h_4^{j+1} h_5^j h_6^{j+1}.$$

Notice $\pi_1(h_4^{j+1}), \pi_1(h_5^j) \in SU(2)$, by Lemma 7.17, $h_4^{j+1} \in H^{j+1}$, $h_5^j \in H^j$. Hence we are though the case $b = c = 0$.

Suppose $b \neq 0$. Let $t_1 = \sqrt{|c|^2 + |b|^2}$, $\alpha = t_1^{-1} b$, $\beta = -t_1^{-1} \overline{c}$, $(x, y) = (a, b\alpha^{-1})$ and $h_1 \in H^{j+1}$ such that $\pi_1(h_1)$ given by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have $\overline{\pi_1(h_1)} \cdot (x, y, 0) = (a, b, c)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j &= \tilde{w}^j(t, a, b, c)\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v)h'h_2 \\ &= h_1\tilde{w}^j(t, x, y, 0)h_1^{-1}\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v)h'h_2 \\ &= h_1\tilde{w}^j(t, x, y, 0)\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, \sqrt[4]{4-4t^2}, 0) \\ &\cdot \tilde{w}^j(t, 0, -\sqrt[4]{4-4t^2}, 0)h_1^{-1}\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v)h'h_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice $h'H^{j+1}(h')^{-1} \subseteq H^{j+1}$, hence if we let

$$\begin{aligned} h^j &= \tilde{w}^j(t, x, y, 0)\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, \sqrt[4]{4-4t^2}, 0)h', \\ h^{j+1} &= (h')^{-1}\tilde{w}^j(t, 0, -\sqrt[4]{4-4t^2}, 0)h_1^{-1}\tilde{w}^j(-t, 0, u, v)h'h_2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$h_1^j h_2^{j+1} h_3^j = h_1 h^j h^{j+1}.$$

Notice $\pi_1(h^j), \pi_1(h^{j+1}) \in SU(2)$, by Lemma 7.17, $h^j \in H^j$ and $h^{j+1} \in H^{j+1}$. Hence we are though the case $b \neq 0$. For case $c \neq 0$, we follow exactly the same way as the proof of (1) except changing $(x, y) = (a, b\alpha^{-1})$ to $(x, y) = (a, -c\overline{\beta^{-1}})$.

Thus we have proved

$$H^j H^{j+1} H^j \subseteq H^{j+1} H^j H^{j+1}.$$

The proof of inverse containment is similar.

□

The last tool we need is the following

Lemma 7.20.

$$\tilde{H}_{s_0} = (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1} H^i)(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-2} H^i), \dots, (\prod_{i=1} H^i)$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 6.1 after changing $h^j_{L_n}$ with H^j. □

7.8. **Proof of Theorem 10.** By Lemma 7.20, any element of $\tilde{h}_{s_0}$ can be written as

$$h = (\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1} \tilde{h}^i_{m-n-1})(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n-2} \tilde{h}^i_{m-n-2}), \dots, \tilde{h}^1_1 h_0,$$

where $\tilde{h}^i_k \in H^i$, $k \leq m-n-1$ and $h_0 \in H$. Notice the the element in the lower right corner of matrix $\pi_1(h)$ is the same as that of matrix $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^{m-n-1}_{m-n-1})$. If $\pi_1(h) = I_{m+n}$, we have $\pi_1(\tilde{h}^{m-n-1}_{m-n-1}) = I_{m+n}$, which means $\tilde{h}^{m-n-1}_{m-n-1} \in H_{sym}$ by Theorem 9. By induction it follows $h \in H$. Hence we proved the theorem.

7.9. **Proof of Theorem 5.**

Proof. It is a direct conclusion of Theorem 8 and Theorem 10. □

REFERENCES

[1] M. Brin, Y. Pesin, Partially hyperbolic dynamical systems. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 38 (1974), 170–212.

[2] D. Damjanovic and A. Katok, Periodic cycle functionals and Cocycle rigidity for certain partially hyperbolic $\mathbb{R}^k$ actions, Discr. Cont. Dyn.Syst., 13, (2005), 985–1005.

[3] D. Damjanovic and A. Katok, Local Rigidity of Partially Hyperbolic Actions.II. The geometric method and restrictions of Weyl Chamber flows on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$.

[4] D. Damjanovic and A. Katok, Local Rigidity of Partially Hyperbolic Actions. I. KAM method and $\mathbb{Z}^k$ actions on the torus, www.math.psu.edu/katoka/papers.html.

[5] D. Damjanovic, Central extensions of simple Lie groups and rigidity of some abelian partially hyperbolic algebraic actions, J. Modern Dyn. 1 (2007), 665–688.

[6] Vinay V. Deodhar, On Central Extensions of Rational Points of Algebraic Groups, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 303–386.

[7] A.J.Hahn and O.T.O'Meara, The classical groups and K-theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 55–58.

[8] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory, A First Course, 101, GTM **129**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1991.

[9] S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces

[10] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant manifolds. Lecture notes in mathematics, 583, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[11] B. Kalinin, R. Spatzier, On the Classification of Cartan Actions, GAFA.

[12] B. Kalinin, A. Katok, Invariant measures for actions of higher rank abelian groups, in Smooth Ergodic Theory and its applications, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 69 (2001), 593C637.

[13] A. Katok and A. Kononenko, Cocycle stability for partially hyperbolic systems, Math. Res. Letters, 3 (1996), 191–210.

[14] A. Katok and V. Nitica, Differentiable rigidity of higher rank abelian group actions, Cambridge University Press, to appear.

[15] A. Katok and R. Spatzier, Differential rigidity of Anosov actions of higher rank abelian groups and algebraic lattice actions, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 216 (1997), 287–314.

[16] A. Katok, R. Spatzier, Subelliptic estimates of polynomial differential operators and applications to rigidity of abelian actions, Math. Res. Letters, 1 (1994), 193–202.

[17] G.A. Margulis, Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[18] G.A. Margulis and N. Qian, Rigidity of weakly hyperbolic actions of higher real rank semisimple Lie groups and their lattices, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 21 (2001), no. 1, 121C164.

[19] H. Matsumoto, Sur les sous-groupes arithmetiques des groupes semisimples deployes, These, Univ. de Paris, 1968.

[20] C. Moore, Group extensions of p-adic and adelic linear groups, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., No. 35, 1969, pp. 157-222.

[21] J. Milnor, Introduction to algebraic K-theory, Princeton University Press, 1971.

[22] Ya. Pesin, Lectures on partial hyperbolicity and stable ergodicity, European mathematical society, 2004.

[23] R. Steinberg, Generateurs, relations et revetements de groupes algebriques, Colloque de Bruxelles, 1962, 113–127.

[24] R. Steinberg, Lecture Notes on Chevalley Groups, Yale Univ., 1967.

Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA,16802

E-mail address: `wang_z@math.psu.edu`